

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CRWP-191-2021

Date of decision: 24.02.2021

SHIVANI AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Satbir Singh Gill Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana
for the respondents No.1 to 3..

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioners, claiming themselves to be aged about 20 years, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, performed marriage on 17.12.2020 at Ma Rukmani Sewa Samiti, H.No.99, Kahni 7 ½ Biswa, Tehsil and District Rohtak as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

As per office report, notice has been duly served on respondents No.4 to 6 but none has appeared on behalf of them.

The petition has been contested by learned State Counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 who filed status report by way of affidavit of Bhupender Singh, Superintendent of Police, Sirsa in the Registry which is taken on record.

In the status report, it has been mentioned that as per original Aadhar Card No.7882 2951 7039 and birth certificate of the petitioner No.1 produced by respondent No.6, the date of birth of the petitioner was found to be 13.04.2002 and petitioner No.1 was of the age of 18 years and 8 months at the time of her marriage with petitioner No.2. In the report, it has further been mentioned that private respondents No.4 to 6 were also joined in the proceedings who made statements that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and they will not interfere in their marriage in any manner.

At this stage, learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that now the petitioners do not have any apprehension of danger to their life and liberty and the petition may be disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to take appropriate action for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners in case the petitioners approach respondent No.2 in future in view of any reasonable apprehension of danger from respondents No.4 to 6.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to look into the matter and take appropriate action in case any representation is made to him by the petitioners in future for protection of their life and liberty against danger from respondents No.4 to 6.

24.02.2021

Vishal

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No