

COC-45-2021

Date of Decision: 19.01.2021

Gurmail Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Raman Kumar Sudhir and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Narang, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned counsel contends that the instant Contempt Petition was filed on account of recovery made by the respondents from the pension of the petitioner contrary to the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Radhey Shyam Gupta vs. Punjab National Bank and others, Civil Appeal No.6440-41 of 2008, decided on 04.11.2008.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that an amount of Rs.35,000/- which had been deducted on account of recovery from the pension of the petitioner, has been deposited back in the account of the petitioner i.e. Rs.17,000/- on 14.01.2021 and Rs.18,000/- on 16.01.2021, therefore, no further orders are called for in the Contempt Petition and the same may disposed of as such.

4. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

5. In view of the position as noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not calling for any further orders.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.01.2021
'Rajesh-PS'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No