

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**203**

**CRM-M-1056-2021**

Date of decision : 25.03.2021.

Urmila Mayur Waghela alias Pinky Solanki

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

**CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.198 dated 19.11.2019, under Sections 363, 365, 366, 120-B IPC (Sections 376, 506 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City-I, district Mansa.

It is alleged in the FIR which has been lodged by the husband of the victim that the brother of the petitioner had allegedly enticed the victim in conspiracy with the petitioner. It is stated in the petition that the petitioner is residing in Maharashtra and has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

This Court, by order dated 11.01.2021 had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of her arrest, she was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Angrej Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

-----

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the Covid-19 Pandemic, the order dated 11.01.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. She shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)  
JUDGE

**March 25, 2021**  
sonia gugnani

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |