

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18430 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.08.2021

Gurmeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. C.S. Singhal, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that since the written representation submitted by him to Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula and Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, copy Annexure P-3 has not evoked any response, he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to such police officers to dispose of his such representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. He has stated that official respondents would comply with any such direction issued by the Court.

Heard.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of directing respondents No.1 to 3 to look into representation of the petitioner

CRM-M-18430-2021-2-

(Annexure P-3) and if they find that some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law.

04.08.2021
sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No