

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. W.P. No.134 of 2021

Date of Decision: 07.01.2021

Davinder Rekhi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. L.S.Lakhanpal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioners seek protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 7, upon them having married each other (as contended) against the wishes of the said respondents, on 16.12.2020.

As regards proof of the age of petitioner no.1, a photocopy of the PAN Card issued by the Government of India, showing his date of birth to be 01.03.1993, has been annexed as Annexure P-1; and as regards petitioner no.2 her Aadhar card has been annexed as Annexure P-2.

Since protection of life and liberty is a fundamental right of every citizen under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, without making any comment whatsoever on the validity of the marriage, or otherwise, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not put to any harm or threat at the hands of the aforesaid respondents, or at their behest.

Since there is no firm proof of age of petitioner no.2 other than her Aadhar Card, which is actually not a firm proof of age, if the said petitioner is found to be below the marriageable age in terms of the provisions of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on any proceedings initiated under that Act, the offences committed under that Act being cognizable in terms of Section 15 thereof.

Further, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings initiated as per law.

January 07, 2021

dharamvir/

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No