

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1010-2020

Date of Decision : 02.08.2021

Mohammad Sajid

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate,
for the Petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Ld. State Counsel on instructions from HC Dinesh Kumar submits that the Petitioner has joined investigation but the weapon of offence used by him in the occurrence has not been recovered.

2. In the opinion of this Court, custodial interrogation for this purpose would appear to be unwarranted since the weapon alleged to have been used by this Petitioner is basically innocuous/unidentifiable in nature and there is no allegation of use of any firearm or other regular assault weapon/sharp edged weapon specifically usable for violence by him.

3. For the above, reasons the interim bail granted to the Petitioner earlier, is hereby confirmed.

August 02, 2021

Dpr

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No