

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**104**

**CRM-M-666-2021.**

**Date of Decision: 10.03.2021.**

Karan Singh @ K.D. @ Kallu

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

----

**Present:** Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)**

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C has been moved by petitioner-**Karan Singh @ K.D. @ Kallu** for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.231 dated 23.05.2020 under Sections 148, 307, 323, 325, 427 and 506 IPC read with Section 149 IPC, Section 25 of Arms Act and Section 3 of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at Police Station Sector-58, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that allegations levelled in the FIR are totally concocted, false and frivolous and there is no iota of truth therein. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2020 and he is no more required by the Investigating Agency for any investigation purpose. He further submits that challan has already been presented in Court and testimonies of complainant/injured-Shri Chand and alleged eyewitness-Pushpa Devi have already been recorded during the trial and both of them have not supported the prosecution version. He further urges that co-accused Harshwardhan @ Harsh has

already been released on bail by Trial Court, vide order dated 11.09.2020.

He further submits that since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and carefully gone through the records.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2020; that petitioner is no more required by the Investigating Agency for investigation purpose; that challan has already been presented in Court; that testimonies of complainant-injured Shri Chand (PW1) and alleged eyewitness Pushpa Devi (PW2) have already been recorded and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner-**Karan Singh @ K.D. @ Kallu** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Faridabad, as the case may be.

**(LALIT BATRA)**  
**JUDGE**

**10.03.2021**

*jitender*

|                            |   |         |
|----------------------------|---|---------|
| Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/ No |
| Whether Reportable         | : | Yes/ No |