

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.258 of 2020

Date of Decision:06.09.2021

PARGAT SINGH @ KALA

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. N.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.257 dated 19.08.2019 registered under Sections 307/452/323/148/149 of IPC, at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda.

On 08.01.2020, following order was passed:-

"Briefly, as per the allegations, the petitioner alongwith other persons came to the house of Sukhdeep Singh (complainant). Ajaib Singh armed with gandasa caused blow on his head. Jagga Singh and Labh Singh were armed with sticks. An alarm was raised and all the assailants slipped away from the spot. It has been stated that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner. Furthermore, a compromise has also been effected between the parties.

It has been further stated that Jagga Singh and Labh Singh (coaccused) have been granted bail as compromise has been effected between the parties.

Notice of motion, returnable on 17.02.2020.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join

investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

08.01.2020

smriti”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner. Even compromise has been effected between the parties. Petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of order dated 08.01.2020. Co-accused namely Jagga Singh and Labh Singh have already been granted bail on the basis of compromise.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurjant singh admits the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. Even challan has been filed and in view of aforesaid position, presence of petitioner is no more required for further investigation in the case.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 08.01.2020 is made absolute. However, petitioner shall keep on appearing before the trial Court regularly.

Petition stands disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

06.09.2021

Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No