

Through video conference
202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-423-2020 (O&M).

Kamlesh Rani and another

.. Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

*** * ***

**PRESENT Mr.R.V.S.Chugh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

Mr.Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.L.S.Sidhu, Advocate, for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Darshan Singh, states that in pursuance of order dated 9.1.2020, passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined investigation and have fully cooperated with the investigation process. He has further submitted that after completion of investigation, the challan has already been presented before the court of competent jurisdiction and the charges have also been framed and therefore, the present petition which is for the grant of anticipatory bail has become infructuous as the petitioners are not required for custodial investigation.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant has not disputed the aforesaid factual position but has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In view of the stand taken by the learned State counsel that the petitioners have joined investigation and have fully cooperated with the investigation process and are not required for custodial investigation as the investigation is complete and after presentation of challan charges have also been framed, the present petition is allowed. Interim order dated 9.1.2020, granting interim protections to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute.

August 19, 2021.
raj arora

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No