

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

203/4

CRM-M-501-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 12.02.2021

PALWINDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Kanwalvir Singh Kang, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankit Rana, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 167 dated 25.07.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Sections 325 and 326 IPC added subsequently), registered at Police Station Sadar Samana, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although it is alleged that the petitioner was armed with a 'barchha', but no injury is attributed to him. He is alleged to have raised a 'lalkara'. He also contends that the co-accused Nishabar Singh has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court today itself in CRM-M-43881-2020.

This Court, by the order dated 08.01.2021, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Pawan Kumar, contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 08.01.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.02.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No