

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-197-2021

Date of decision : 24.02.2021.

Satnam Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Reena, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking quashing of DDR No.36 dated 12.02.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 427, 447 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) in FIR No. 07 dated 11.02.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 326 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the DDR is the outcome of a sudden altercation between the neighbours in which injuries were received by both the sides. It is a case of version and cross-version. He also contends that the injured have fully recovered from the injuries. He further contends that the matter has now been compromised with the intervention of the respectables. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P3

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 06.01.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the SDJM, Baba Bakala Sahib, Amritsar dated 05.02.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The incident is the outcome of a sudden altercation between the neighbours in which injuries were received by both sides. The matter has now been compromised.

In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and DDR No. 36 dated 12.02.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 427, 447 read with Section 34 IPC in FIR No. 07 dated 11.02.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 326 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar and all consequential proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 24, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No