

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

238

CRM-M-224-2021

Date of decision : 18.02.2021.

Gurnek Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.11 dated 08.02.2020, under Sections 307, 506, 427, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran, on the basis of compromise dated 26.02.2020 (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that although it is alleged in the FIR that shots were fired but they did not hit anyone. It is a case of no injury. He also contends that the parties are residents of the same village and there was a dispute between them with regard to monetary transactions which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 06.01.2021 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Tarn Taran dated 22.01.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The FIR is the outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties. It is a case of no injury and the matter has now been compromised.

In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.11 dated 08.02.2020, under Sections 307, 506, 427, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran and all consequential proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 18, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No