

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.121 of 2021

Date of Decision: April 19, 2021

Naresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Amardeep Singh Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.97 dated 20.04.2020, under Sections 188, 120-B IPC, 1860 and Section 61/1/14 Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station, Jui Kalan, District Bhiwani. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 06.01.2021, whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel contends that the alleged illicit liquor was recovered from the possession of co-accused Sandeep and Pankaj, who were arrested at the spot and the petitioner was arraigned as an accused subsequently on the basis of disclosure statements made by them.

Notice of motion for 19.04.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the police authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel, on instructions from ASI Satyanarain, further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 06.01.2021 is made absolute.

April 19, 2021
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No