

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A.VENKATESHWARA REDDY

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1904 OF 2014

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India aggrieved by the order dated 13.12.2013 made in IA No.435 of 2012 in OS.No.411 of 2006 on the file of the Court of the I Additional District Judge, R. R. District.

Between:

1. V Subba Rao, S/o Seshagiri Rao, aged about 43 years, Occ: Business R/o Flat No.101, Kamalapriyanka Residency Kamalanagari Colony, Krishnanagar, Hyderabad
2. D Keshav Chowdary, S/o Sri Ranga Rao, aged 42 years, Occ: Business R/o Flat No.504, Seetharama Enclave Nizampet Road, Kukatpally Housing Board, Hyderabad

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. Y Venkatamurali Krishna, S/o Late Seetharamaiah, aged 48 years, Occ: Business R/o Flat No.502, Seetharama Enclave Nizampet Road, Kukatpally, Correct address R/o Flat No: 102, Sri Sai Nilayam H.No.8-3-169/32, Opp Siddarthanagar Community Centre, Siddarthanagar, SR Nagar, Hyderabad
2. Seetharama Housing Pvt. Ltd., Office at 405, Taj Enclave, 4th Floor, Lakdikapul Hyderabad rep by its MD
3. Sri V Ratnakar, S/o Late Subba Rao, aged major, Office at 405, Taj Enclave, 4th Floor, Lakdikapul, Hyderabad
4. G Mallikarjuna Rao, S/o Venkateswar Rao, aged 62 years, Office at 405, Raj Enclave, 4th Floor, Lakdikapul, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

CRPMP. NO: 2679 OF 2014

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in OS.No.411 of 2006 pursuant to the orders dt. 13/12/2013 in IA.No.435 of 2012 in OS.No.411 of 2006 pending on the file of I Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI CH. SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI K. PAVAN KUMAR

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. VENKATESHWARA REDDY

CRP No.1904 of 2014

ORDER:

The learned counsel for the revision petitioners called absent.
There is no representation on his behalf.

The learned counsel for the respondents submits that pursuant to the orders in IA No.435 of 2012 in OS No.411 of 2006 dated 13.12.2013, the defendants have filed their written statement and in view of the stay orders granted by this Court, there was no further progress of the matter.

In such circumstances, the presence or representation on behalf of the revision petitioners is felt essential, but there is no representation from the revision petitioners. Therefore, I find no merit to continue the matter.

Hence, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending in this revision petition shall stand closed.

**SD/-N.CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The I Additional District Judge, R.R. District.
 2. One CC to Sri Ch. Srinivas, Advocate [OPUC]
 3. One CC to Sri K. Pavan Kumar, Advocate [OPUC]
 4. Two CD Copies
 5. One Spare Copy
- gbr



HIGH COURT

DATED: 31/12/2021



ORDER

CRP.No.1904 of 2014

DISMISSING THE CRP
FOR NON PROSECUTION

6
REMA
18/01/2022