

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**TUESDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G SRI DEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 7296 OF 2020

Between:

K.Tejaram Murthy, S/o K. Mohan Rao, Age 29 yrs, Occ Software Employee, R/o Room No.104, First Floor, Kalabairava Apartments, Church Main Road, Hall 3rd Stage, Bengaluru, Karnataka

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.1

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep.by its Public Prosecutor, High Court, Hyderabad, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. through P.S., Banjara Hills.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioner and direct the Police, Banjara Hills to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.825/2020 on the file of P.S.Banjara Hills in the interest of justice.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri VENUGOPAL JULAKANTI, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No1.

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE JUSTICE G. SRI DEVI
CRIMINAL PETITION No.7296 OF 2020

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition, under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is filed by the petitioner/accused, for grant of anticipatory bail in Crime No.825 of 2020 of P.S. Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 493 and 420 of IPC.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent-State and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and is in no way concerned with the alleged offences of cheating, torturing or molesting the *de facto* complainant. It is submitted that the petitioner is a law-abiding citizen and is prepared to abide by any condition that may be imposed in case of granting anticipatory bail. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent-State opposed granting of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, stating that the petitioner/accused is alleged to have tortured and molested the *de facto* complainant and as per the contents of complaint, he is also having illegal affairs with many women.

As seen from the contents of the F.I.R., there are specific grave allegations against the petitioner that he has molested the *de facto* complainant.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the offences, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The Criminal Petition is, accordingly, dismissed. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the competent Court within a period of ten days from today and applies for regular bail, the same shall be considered by the said Court, in accordance with law.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Sd/-CH.VENKATESHWARLU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

To,

1. The III Additional chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Banjara Hills Police Station, Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to SRI. VENUGOPAL JULAKANTI Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies



HIGH COURT

GSDJ

DATED:19/01/2021

ORDER

CRLP.No.7296 of 2020



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

Handwritten signature and date:
28/01/2021