

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. VIJAYSEN REDDY

F.C.A.Nos.192 of 2005, 196 of 2005, 176 of 2009 & 183 of 2009

COMMON JUDGMENT: (Per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice Hima Kohli)

1. The matters are listed in the category of dismissal, as none had appeared on behalf of the appellant/husband in F.C.A.Nos.192 of 2005, 196 of 2005 and 176 of 2009 nor were the appellants/wife and the child in F.C.A.No.183 of 2009 were represented on the last date of hearing. Today, Mr. E. Phani Kumar, learned counsel appears for the appellants in F.C.A.No.183 of 2009 and the respondent in the other three connected appeals. None is present on behalf of the appellant/husband in F.C.A.Nos.192 of 2005, 196 of 2005 and 176 of 2009.

2. Mr. E. Phani Kumar, learned counsel submits that he had sent a letter by registered post to his clients at the address given in the Memo of parties for instructions. It has been duly served on them and proof of acknowledgement has been received, but they have not contacted him. He states that in such circumstances, he has no instructions in the matter.

3. It appears that the appellant in F.C.A.Nos.192 of 2005, 196 of 2005 and 176 of 2009 is not interested in prosecuting the present

appeals, which are dismissed in default and for non-prosecution along with the pending applications, if any.

4. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the appellants in F.C.A.No.183 of 2009, the present appeal is dismissed along with the pending applications, if any, on the ground of lack of any instructions received by the learned counsel.

HIMA KOHLI, CJ

B. VIJAYSEN REDDY, J

21.06.2021
JSU/PLN