

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE**

**PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.AMARNATH GOUD**

CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 366 OF 2014

Appeal under Order 43 Rule –I read with 151 of Code of Civil Procedure against the Order and decree made in IA No.2209 of 2013 in OS No.847 of 2013 dated 13/12/2013 on the file of the Court of the II Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy District, at L.B.Nagar.

Between:

1. Sri. Shiga Bala Kistaiah
2. Sri Shiga Anjaiah,

...APPELLANTS

AND

1. Sri P.Raghu Chander,
2. Sri. Rajashekar Reddy Bogurampeta,

...RESPONDENTS

For the Appellants : SRI VIJAY B. PAROPAKARI, Advocate

For the Respondents : NONE APPEARED

The Court delivered the following JUDGMENT :

**HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.AMARNATH GOUD
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO.366 OF 2014**

J U D G M E N T:

(Per Sri Justice M.S.Ramachandra Rao)

Learned counsel for the appellants states that this Appeal has become infructuous.

2. Recording the same, the CMA is dismissed as infructuous.
3. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, in this CMA shall also stand dismissed. No costs.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-B.S.CHIRANJEEVI
JOINT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The II Additional District Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, Hyderabad.
(with records, if any)
2. One CC to Sri Vijay B. Paropakari, Advocate (OPUC)
3. Two CD Copies

Kj.



HIGH COURT

DATED:06/01/2021



JUDGMENT

CMA.No.366 of 2014

DISMISSING THE APPEAL AS INFRUCTUOUS.

④ $\frac{VLV}{18/1/21}$