

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE SHAMEEM AKTHER

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1489 OF 2007

Crl.Revision Case under Sections 397 & 401 of Cr.P.C. against the order dated 27-10-2006 in C.C. No. 1939 of 2005 on the file of the Court of the X Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad, Malkajgiri.

Between:

Smt. R.Krishnaveni, W/o. R.Srihari, Business, R/o. 10-186/2, Vasanthapuri Colony, Malkajgiri, R.R. District. ...PETITIONER

AND

- 1. The State Of A.P., rep.by Public Prosecutor, Hyderabad.**
- 2. S.Mohan Reddy, S/o. Rami Reddy, Business, R/o. 45-261/3, Plot No.6, Shirdi Nagar, Upperguda, RR.District.**

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioner:SRI. D-VENKATESH (not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

Counsel for the Respondent No. 2 : None appeared

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE SHAMEEM AKTHER

Criminal Revision Case No.1489 of 2007

ORDER:

Heard the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent No.1-State and perused the record.

2. No representation for the petitioner. On earlier occasion also, there was no representation for the petitioner. It appears that the revision petitioner has no interest to pursue the matter.

3. The challenge in this Criminal Revision Case is to the Docket Order, dated 27.10.2006, passed in C.C.No.1939 of 2005 by the X Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Malkajgiri, whereby, the subject complaint filed by the petitioner/complainant against the respondent No.2 herein/accused for the offence punishable under Section 138 r/w 141 & 142 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, was dismissed for default. The impugned order reads as follows:

"Accused is present. Complainant is absent. No representation. As per the seen the record complainant is regularly absent. Hence the complaint is dismissed for default."

4. The Court below, after recording a categorical finding that the petitioner herein/complainant was regularly absent before the Court below, rightly dismissed the complaint for default by exercising power under Section 256 of Cr.P.C. There is no illegality or impropriety in the docket order under challenge.

5. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Revision Case shall stand closed.

**SD/-CH.VENKATESWARULU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The X Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Malkajgiri, Ranga Reddy District.(with records if any)
2. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana (OUT)

Contd---

3. One CC to SRI. D.VENKATESH, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies
5. One Spare Copy

TR





HIGH COURT

DATED:17/11/2021

ORDER

CRLRC.No.1489 of 2007



DISMISSING THE CRL.R.C.

PK
30/11

(7)