

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G SRI DEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 642 OF 2021

Between:

GUNUGU HARANADH @ HARINADH, S/o.G.Subba Rao, Aged about 44 years, Occ.
Lecturer in Private College, R/o.H.no.6-781/1, Dangenagar, Jaggayyapet, Krishna
District, Andhra Pradesh - 521175.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO 1

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana,
(through its S.H.O. KPHB P.S. Cyberabad)

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated
in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased
to grant the anticipatory bail to the Petitioner / Accused No.1 in the event of his
arrest by the Police in connection with Crime No.981 of 2020 on the file of the P.S.
KPHB, Cyberabad

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of
Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri R Govindu,
Advocate for the Petitioner and the Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the
Sole Respondent

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G. SRI DEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION No.642 of 2021

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, is filed by petitioner/A1 seeking to grant anticipatory bail to him in Cr.No.981 of 2020 on the file of KPHB Colony Police Station, Cyberabad, registered for the offences under Sections 498-A, 494, 406, 506 read with Section 34 IPC and under Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner/A1, learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent-State and perused the record.

3. It is alleged in the complaint that the petitioner/A1 along with the other accused harassed the de-facto complainant mentally and physically by demanding additional dowry and he left her and her children at her parents' house, but he did not turn up to see them. The petitioner also abused her in filthy language and tried to kill her by pouring kerosene and he also got married one Gurulakshmi on the pretext that she did not bless with a baby boy.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner/A1 submits that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged offences and that in order to harass him and to get the joint property totally, the de-facto complainant used to threaten him to implicate in the false criminal cases and that earlier complaint made by her was ended in compromise and again, she filed the present complaint against him and his family members with false allegations. He further submits that the entire investigation is completed except filing of the charge

sheet. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the relief sought in the petition.

6. As seen from the contents of the FIR, there are specific allegations against the petitioner that he made false assurance to the de-facto complainant that he got a job in IIT Coaching Centre, Aurangabad, and induced her that he will come to see her every month, and thereafter, he never turned up to see her and her children, and that in the month of October, 2020, when she went to her in-laws' house, the petitioner was present there and she also came to know that during subsistence of first marriage, the petitioner got married one Gurulakshmi on the pretext that she did not bless with a baby boy. Thus, looking into the nature of allegations leveled against the petitioner/A1 and other facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to him and his prayer for anticipatory bail is refused. However, the petitioner/A1 is directed to surrender before the Court concerned within ten days from today and on such surrender and on filing an application for regular bail, the Court concerned shall consider the same in accordance with law.

7. With the above directions, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

8. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending in this criminal petition, shall stand dismissed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- I.NAGALAKSHMI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Ge
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The II AJCJ Cum Additional Metropolitan Magistrate Kukatpally Cyberabad
2. The Station House Officer, KPHB Police Station KPHB Cyberabad
3. One CC to Sri R. Govindu, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

V. S. S.

HIGH COURT

DATED:11/02/2021

ORDER

CRLP.No.642 of 2021



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

⑦ VW
17/2/21