



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 01.02.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.DHANDAPANI**

Cont.P.(MD)No.389 of 2018

and Sub Application (MD)No.193 of 2019

WEB COPY

H.Suganthi Daniel

... Petitioner

versus

V.Arumugam
The Treasury Officer,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

... Respondent

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the Respondent for his deliberate disobedience in implementation of the order of this Court in W.P. (MD)No.18001 of 2016 dated 22.09.2016.

Prayer in WP(MD). 18001/ 2016 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS, directing the respondent to consider and pass orders on the representation preferred by the Petitioner dated 30.08.2016 seeking revision of pension and for payment of arrears of pension, within the time that may be stipulated by this Hon ble Court.

For Petitioner :	Mr.M.Mahaboob Athiff for M/s.Ajmal Associates
For Respondent :	Mr.A.Karthick, Government Advocate


ORDER

The petitioner filed a writ petition in W.P.(MD)No.18001 of 2016 seeking for the issuance of writ of mandamus to direct the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 30.08.2016, seeking revision of pension of her husband, who was working as a Professor in a College and for payment of arrears of pension.

2. This Court, vide order dated 22.09.2016, directed the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 30.08.2016, in the light of G.O.Ms.No.10G Higher Education H1 Department, dated 14.05.2015 and pass orders in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order. Since the said order has been complied with, the present Contempt Petition has been filed.

3. In compliance with the order of this Court dated

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



22.09.2016, the respondent, vide its communication in Na.Ka.No.13061/2016/K2, dated 04.03.2020, informed that since the petitioner has not produced the details of pension, they are not in a position to revise the pension and further, the Principal of the College has also rejected the request of the petitioner.

4. In view of the above, the Contempt Petition is closed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to work out her remedy in the manner known to law. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

ogy

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

V.Arumugam
The Treasury Officer,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.GP (SR-2830[F] dated 02/02/2021)

Cont.P.(MD)No.389 of 2018
01.02.2021

TP (CO)
KK(01.03.2021) 2P 3C