



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Civil Appellate Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Second day of February Two Thousand and Twenty One

PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice S.S.SUNDAR**  
**and**  
**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice C.SARAVANAN**

CMP(MD) Nos.12512 of 2018 and 1564 of 2019  
IN  
AS(MD) No.179 of 2018

**CMP (MD) No.12512 of 2018:**

1 M.HARIHARAN  
2 H.LAVANYA

... PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS 1 & 2/  
RESPONDENTS 1 & 2

Vs

1 S.RATHNADEVI

2 M/S.LAKSHMI TRADERS REP BY ITS PARTNERS,  
I) RATHNADEVI  
II) DHANALAKSHMI

3 M/S.VASAVI TRADERS REP.BY ITS PARTNERS  
I) RATHNADEVI  
II) DHANALAKSHMI

...RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3/ PETITIONERS  
APPELLANTS

4 R.GANESAN

5 M/S.GROWL FERTILIZERS  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR  
NATARAJAN

6 M/S.MOHAN AGRO CENTRE,  
REP.BY ITS PROPRIETOR,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

7 M/S.TVS & SONS,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD, SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM





19 GLOBAL INDUSTRIES  
REP.BY ITS PROPRIETOR,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM

20 GROWEL FERTILIZER  
REP.BY ITS PROPRIETOR, A.NATARAJAN  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM

21 MAHALAKSHMI TILES  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM

22 J.RAMAN  
23 ARUL SELVAM  
24 RAJARAMAN

25 JAYA VILAS SILVER SHOP  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR,  
NO.14, SARANGAPANIKOVIL STREET,  
KEELAVEDHI, KUMBAKONAM

26 MOHAN AGRO GODOWN  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM

27 MUTHUKUMAR

28.RANGASAMY  
SRI SATHYASAYEMUTHIYOR ILLAM,  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR,  
NO.58, LAKSHIMI MODERN RICE MILL,  
NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI POST,  
KUMBAKONAM.

29.RAJKUMAR CERAJEM,  
ACUPUNCTURE,  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR,  
NO.14, SARANGAPANI KOVIL STREET, KEELAVEDHI,  
KUMBAKONAM.

30 S.ABINESH  
31 M.DANALAKSHMI

... RESPONDENTS 4 to 31/  
RESPONDENTS 3 TO 30/RESPONDENTS 3 TO 30



Petition filed praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court may be pleased to vacate the interim order dated 12.11.2018 passed in the civil Miscellaneous Petition in CMP(MD)No.10862 of 2018 in A.S(MD). No.179 of 2018

**PRAYER IN CMP(MD)No.10862 of 2018:**

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to direct the first and second respondent to deposit from out of the admitted rental income derived from the suit "A" Schedule property being a sum of Rs.1,50,000/-, proportionate 1/3rd share of the 1<sup>st</sup> plaintiff for the period July 2007 till October 2018 for a consecutive period of 136 months amounting to Rs.68,00,000/- together with the proportionate damages for use and occupation of the B Schedule property at the rate of Rs.5000/- for the period July 2007 to October 2018 for a continuous period of 136 months amounting to the sum of Rs.6,80,000/- to the credit of the above appeal forthwith and continue to pay the proportionate share every month till the disposal of the suit.

**PRAYER IN AS(MD) . 179/ 2018 :**

To set aside the Judgment and Decree made in O.S.No.13 of 2008 on the file of the Second Additional District and Sessions Judge, Thanjavur.

**CMP(MD)No.1564 of 2019:**

1 S.RATHNADEVI

2 M/S.LAKSHMI TRADERS REP BY ITS PARTNERS,  
I)RATHNADEVI

3 M/S.VASAVI TRADERS REP.BY ITS PARTNERS  
I)RATHNADEVI

...PETITIONERS/APPELLANTS/PLAINTIFFS

Vs

1.M.HARIHARAN  
2.H.LAVANYA  
3.R.GANESAN

4.M/S.GROWL FERTILIZERS,  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR NATARAJAN,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

5.M/S.MOHAN AGRO CENTRE,  
REP.BY ITS PROPRIETOR,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD, SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.



6. M/S.TVS & SONS,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

7 M/S.ARUL AGENCIES,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER, NO.58,  
NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD, SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

8.M/S.ATRC TYRE RETREADING COMPANY,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER, NO.58,  
NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD, SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

9.THIRUMALAI TILES AND GRANITES,  
REP.BY ITS PROPRIETOR,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

10.S.S.PAUL TREE FORUM,  
REP.BY ITS PROPRIETOR,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

11.GREEN STAR FERTILIZERS LTD.,  
REP.BY ITS PROPRIETOR, NO.58,  
NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD, SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

12.B.NATARAJAN

13. B.RAVI

14.RAMCO CEMENT LTD.,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

15.ARC PARCEL SERVICE LTD.,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER, NO.58,  
NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

16.ARUL AGENCIES,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

17.K.R.R.MOTORS & TATA MOTORS PVT.LTD.,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.



18.GLOBAL INDUSTRIES,  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

19.GROWEL FERTILIZER,  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR A.NATARAJAN,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

20.MAHALAKSHMI TILES,  
REP.BY ITS MANAGER,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

21.J.RAMAN

22.ARUL SELVAM

23.RAJARAMAN

24.JAYA VILAS SILVER SHOP,  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR,  
NO.14, SARANGAPANIKOVIL ST.,  
KEELAVEDHI, KUMBAKONAM.

25.MOHAN AGRO GODOWN,  
REP BY ITS MANAGER,  
NO.58, NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD,  
SAKKOTTAI, KUMBAKONAM.

26.MUTHUKUMAR


27.RANGASAMY  
SRI SATHYASAYEMUTHIYOR ILLAM,  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR,  
NO.58, LAKSHIMI MODERN RICE MILL,  
NEEDAMANGALAM ROAD, SAKKOTTAI POST, KUMBAKONAM.

28.RAJKUMAR CERAJEM,  
ACUPUNCTURE,  
REP.BY PROPRIETOR,  
NO.14, SARANGAPANI KOVIL STREET,  
KEELAVEDHI, KUMBAKONAM.

29.S.ABINESH

30.M.DANALAKSHMI  
(R6,9TO 28 SET EXPARTE BEFORE LOWER COURT.  
HENCE NOTICE TO THEM MAY BE DISPENSED WITH)

... RESPONDENTS/DEFENDANTS



**PRAYER IN CMP(MD)No.1564 of 2019:**

Pleased to appoint a third party Receiver for administering the schedule "A", "B" and "C" mentioned properties pending disposal of the above appeal and render justice.

WEB COPY

**SCHEDULE "A"**

**ITEM No.01:**

All that piece and parcel of land and building situated at Thanjavur District, Kumbakonam Sub Registration District, Kumbakonam Town, T.No.113, Puzavathankatalai Village, Sakkottai, Needamangalam, Road Door NO.58, T.S.No.2574,2575, Containing Modern Rice Mill, and Godowns, Generator, Dryers, Boiler, Sheds, Macheneries, including Thalavadangal of total area 87910 sq.ft, or thereabouts bounded on

North by DrAmbedhkar Road  
South by Othastreet and R.S.Gopalan Path  
East by Needamangalam Road;and  
West by R.S.Gopalan Rice Mill

**ITEM No.02:**

All that piece and parcel of land situated at Thanjavur District, Kumbakonam Sub Registration District, Kumbakonam T.No.113, Puzavathankatalai Village, Kumbakonam, Ward No.6 T.S.No.2569 of an extent of 2520 sq.ft., or thereabouts bounded on the

North by Otha Street  
South by Nachiappanpunja land  
East by R.S.Gopalan Vacant site  
West by Chakriapani Temple Nanjaland

**ITEM No.03:**

All that piece of land situated at Thanjavur District, Kumbakonam Sub Registration District, Kumbakonam T.S.No.830 and 831 Door No.11, Vacant land of an extent 2744 Sq.ft., or thereabouts bounded on the

North by Kamu complex building  
South by Balakrishnan and chakarapani houses  
East by Municipulsanth; and  
West by Sarangabani kovil St,

**ITEM No.04:**

All that piece and parcel of land situated at Thanjavur District, Kumbakonam Sub Registration District, Kumbakonam Town, Ward No.6, T.S.No.2578 to 2587, of a total extent of 48905 Sq.ft., or thereabouts bounded on the



North by Canal  
 South by MuthiyaPadaiyache House  
 East by Needamangalam Road  
 West by K.R.Seenivasam Pillai House

**ITEM NO.5**

| <b>S.NO</b> | <b>Vehicles</b>                 | <b>Reg.No.</b> | <b>Value</b> |
|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| 01          | Lorry Ashok Leyland Model-1995  | TN 57 A-7288   | 75,000       |
| 02          | Lorry Ashok Leyland Model-1996  | TN 36 B-1188   | 80,000       |
| 03          | Lorry Ashok Leyland Model-1995  | TN 33 D -4440  | 75,000       |
| 04          | Lorry Ashoke Leyland Model-1996 | TN 28 V-3789   | 80,000       |
| 05          | Lorry Ashok Leyland Model-2005  | TN 49 S- 0502  | 4,00,000     |
| 06          | Lorry Ashok Leyland Model-1995  | TN 28 R-3799   | 75,000       |
| 07          | Lorry Ashok Leyland Model-1996  | TN 28 C-8019   | 80,000       |
| 08          | Lorry Ashok Leyland Model-1996  | TN 28 C-4419   | 80,000       |

**SCHEDULE "B"****ITEM No.01**


All that piece and parcel of land and building situate at Thanjavur District, Kumbakonam Sub Registration District, Kumbakonam T.S.No.113, Puzavathankatalai Village, T.S.No.2569 Ward-6 Door No.57 Needamangalam Road Kumbakonam of an extent of 7633 Sq.ft., or thereabouts bounded on the

North by Othastreet  
 South by Nachiappanpunja Land  
 East by Needamangalam Road, and  
 West by Vacant Site of Late of R.S.Munirajan

**ITEM No.02**

|           |                                  |                     |               |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| <b>01</b> | <b>Ambassador Car Model-1995</b> | <b>TN 09 F-1413</b> | <b>25,000</b> |
| 02        | Treker Jeep Model-1975           | TN 0 6966           | 10,000        |

**Total Value of Rs.35,000/-**

**SCHEDULE "C"****ITEM NO.01**

All that piece and parcel of land and building situate at Thanjavur District, Kumbakonam Taluk, 113, Pazavanthanthakathain Village, comprised in the following Survey Number:

WEB COPY

| <b>S.NO</b> | <b>Survey No.</b> | <b>Type</b> | <b>Acres</b> | <b>Cents</b> |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| 01          | 98/1              | Punja       | ---          | 15           |
| 02          | 98/2              | Nanja       | 1            | 51           |
| 03          | 98/3              | Punja       | ---          | 28           |
| 04          | 98/4              | Nanja       | 1            | ---          |
| 05          | 98/6              | Nanja       | ---          | 15           |
| 06          | 104/1B            | Nanja       | 1            | 62/3         |
| 07          | 97/2              | Nanja       | 4            | 12           |
| 08          | 103/1A            | Nanja       | ---          | 80           |
| 09          | 103/1A            | Nanja       | ---          | 80           |
| 10          | 103/1A            | Nanja       | ---          | 76           |
| 11          | 103/1B            | Nanja       | ---          | 21           |
| 12          | 99/2              | Punja       | ---          | 30           |
| 13          | 99/9A             | Nanja       | ---          | 52           |
| 14          | 99/12             | Punja       | 6            | 02           |
| 15          | 104/1B            | Nanja       | ---          | 26 2/3       |
| 16          | 103/1A            | Nanja       | ---          | 40           |

Total-18 Acres 35 1/3 Cents

Together with Bore Wells, Pipes, electric Motors and Farm Equipments of an extent of approximately 18 Acres and 35 1/3 Cents or thereabouts.


**ITEM No.02.**

All that piece and parcel of vacant land situate at Thanjavur District, Kumbakonam Sub Registration District, Kumbakonam, T.S.No.113, PuizavanthanKattalai Village T.S.No.No.2569 ward No.6 of an extent of 6300 sq.ft or thereabouts bounded on the

North by Othastreet  
 South by Nachiappanpunja Land  
 East by R.C.C.House of R.S.Munirajan; and  
 West by Vacant Site of R.S.Baskaran

**ORDER :** These petitions coming up for orders on this day, upon perusing the petitions and the affidavits filed in support thereof

<https://hjservices.courts.gov.in/hjservices/>



and upon hearing the arguments of Mr.M.KARUNANITHI, Advocate for the petitioner CMP(MD)No.12512 of 2018 and Respondents 1 and 2 in CMP(MD)No.1564 of 2019 and of Mr.V.NANDHAKUMAR, Advocate for the petitioners in CMP(MD)No.1564 of 2019 and Respondents 1 to 3 in CMP(MD)No.CMP(MD)No.12512 of 2018, the court made the following order:-

**WEB COPY (Order of the Court was made by S.S.Sundar,J.)**

**C.M.P.MD.No.12512/2018:**

This petition is filed to vacate the interim order, dated 12.11.2018, passed by this Court in C.M.P.(MD).No.10862/2018 in AS.(MD).No.179 of 2018.

2. On 12.11.2018, this Court, in C.M.P.(MD).No.10862/2018 in AS.(MD).No.179 of 2018, passed the order in the following lines:

*'6.The learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that some of the immovable properties were sold by the first defendant, even during the pendency of the suit. It is also her case that a Rice Mill, which was a partnership concern, was also dismantled and the machineries were taken away by the first defendant to his place, where he set up another Rice Mill in his name. It is further stated that the first plaintiff was not in enjoyment of any of the properties or not getting any income from any of the properties right from the date of the suit property. It is also pointed out that the first defendant has admitted in his evidence that he is collecting a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- per month as rent from the immovable properties through the tenants. It is also stated that the first defendant did not prefer any appeal.*

7. It is under these circumstances and having regard to the peculiar facts that the first plaintiff is totally deprived of her legitimate share, this Court is of the view that the first plaintiff is entitled to get atleast the benefit of the decree. Accordingly, this petition is allowed with the following directions:

"1) The first respondent / first defendant is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.30,00,000/- to the credit of A.S. (MD)No.179 of 2018 within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the Indian Bank, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai. Upon such deposit being made by the first respondent / first defendant in compliance of this order, the first petitioner / first appellant is entitled to withdraw the entire amount by filing necessary applications.



2) The first respondent is also directed to pay of sum of Rs.50,000/- directly to the first petitioner / first appellant every month towards the 1/3 share towards future rental income on or before 10th of every month, commencing from December'2018.'

WEB COPY

3. The appeal was filed by the plaintiff in the suit in O.S.No.13 of 2008 on the file of II Additional District Judge-cum-Fast Track Court, Thanjavur, as against a portion of the decree, which was against her/appellant. The said suit was for partition of the plaintiff's half share in all the properties. However, a decree was granted in favour of the petitioner in C.M.P.(MD).No.10862 of 2018 for 1/3<sup>rd</sup> share and other consequential relief. It was the specific case of the petitioner therein that the suit property had been leased out to several tenants, who are impleaded as defendants in the suit. Since first respondent in the petition for direction, who is the petitioner in the petition to vacate the interim order, was enjoying the income, this Court directed 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the income, which was admitted by the contesting respondent, be paid to the petitioner therein towards her share.

4. During the course of hearing, several acts detrimental to the interest of other sharers were pointed out. For example, it was contended before us that several lorries, which were operated by the petitioner herein, were sold out without reference to the suit for partition. It was noticed by this Court that the said alienation was after the decree was passed in the suit for partition. Taking into account the conduct of the petitioner herein, this Court thought that it would be in the interest of justice to direct the petitioner to pay at least Rs.50,000/- per month and deposit a sum of Rs.30,00,000/- to the credit of A.S.(MD).No.179 of 2018 within a stipulated period, being the share of income that was derived and appropriated by the petitioner all along.

5. Despite the passing of the order in C.M.P.(MD).No.10862 of 2018 on 12.11.2018, this Court remained helpless even to make petitioner pay a small sum of Rs.5.00 lakhs to the contesting first respondent. This Court, with a little prudence, cannot entertain a petition filed by the petitioner due to his abnormal conduct. It is to be noted that the petitioner in C.M.P.(MD).No.10862 of 2018 filed a contempt petition vide Contempt Petition (MD) No.690 of 2019 for wilful disobedience of the order passed by this Court on 12.11.2018. In spite of taking several adjournments, the petitioner herein, who is the contemner, resorted to dilatory tactics and, ultimately, after this Court passed an elaborate order subject to certain terms, the petitioner paid only a sum of Rs.5.00 lakhs, despite the fact that the liability, as on date, is ten times more than the amount that is paid.



6. In the affidavit filed in support of this petition to vacate the interim order, dated 12.11.2018, the petitioner has only stated that the finding of the trial Court cannot be sustained, as the decree is appealed before this Court. It is further stated that unless, and until the decree becomes final by disposal of the appeal, the respondent is not entitled to any interim relief. The sum and substance of the contention is to hear the appeal before deciding the petition for interim order. It is only in this context, we are forced to examine the defence in the suit for partition.

7. We found on record that the petitioner, in the petition to vacate the interim order, has not produced any material to substantiate his case, pleaded in defence. Even now, except stating that he has spent a lot of money for augmenting the income from the properties, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has not focussed or drawn our attention to any substantial evidence to justify a prima facie case in favour of the petitioner in the main appeal. We remember, the petitioner himself, at one point of time, agreed that he was prepared to give due share in the suit properties to the respondent. The fact remains that the income from the properties received by the petitioner is not less than a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- per month. Since the properties existed even at the time of filing of the suit, it cannot be contended that the petitioner has contributed much for construction or improvements of the assets so as to get the present income.

8. Given the above factual position, finding no merit to vacate the interim order, dated 12.11.2018, we dismiss this petition.

**C.M.P. (MD) .No.1564/2019:**

9. This petition is filed by the appellant for appointment of a third party Receiver for administering the Schedule mentioned A, B and C properties, pending disposal of the appeal.

10. Learned counsel appearing for the contesting first respondent has no objection for appointing an Advocate to act as a Receiver for administering the entire suit schedule properties. He submitted that there are other properties that are in enjoyment of the petitioner and, therefore, the Receiver, who is going to be appointed, may also take over all the properties of the petitioner as well. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the properties, which are referred to by the learned counsel appearing for the first respondent, are not the subject matter of the present suit. Hence, we cannot entertain the request of the learned counsel for the first respondent. Since the learned counsel appearing for the first respondent has no objection and that he also wants appointment of an Advocate instead of any other person, this Court has no difficulty in appointing an Advocate Receiver.



11. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case coupled with the attitude and conduct of the respondent, we appoint Mr.N.Selvaraj, Advocate, Enrolment No.1299/92, residing at No.898, East Gate, Thanjavur-613 001, Mobile No.9364646162, as the Advocate Receiver.

WEB COPY

12. The entire suit properties, which are the subject matter of schedule mentioned A,B and C properties, for which a decree for partition is granted in favour of the petitioner, shall vest with the Advocate Receiver for administration till the disposal of appeal. Advocate Receiver shall take possession of all the properties stated above. First respondent shall cooperate to deliver each and every item of the properties. In case the Advocate Receiver faces any difficulty or problem with first respondent in taking possession of the properties, it is open for him to seek police assistance. Once a complaint or petition is filed by the Advocate Receiver with regard to taking charge of the properties or to administering the properties, the jurisdictional police shall do all assistance to implement the direction of this Court. Petitioner also shall assist the Advocate Receiver to identify the properties, which are the subject matter of the suit, and name the tenants or any other person, who is in occupation or possession of the said properties, deriving right under first respondent. Also, if the Advocate Receiver faces any difficulty in getting access to the terms of lease agreement under which the tenants are in possession or feels that the quantum of rent or premium payable by the tenants is misquoted because of collusion between tenants and first respondent, he is at liberty to approach this Court by a separate petition. Since first respondent himself has admitted the quantum of income during the pendency of the suit, this Court does not apprehend any difficulty in the way of the Advocate Receiver collecting rent. Every tenant shall recognise the Advocate Receiver as the person entitled to collect rent as if he is the landlord till the appeal is disposed of one way or other.

13. Advocate Receiver shall maintain accounts and submit the same every month to the Registry of this Court. He is conferred with all powers and expected to perform the duties as contemplated under Order 40 Rules 1,3 and 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

14. Petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.25,000/- towards initial expenses to the Advocate Receiver and the said amount shall be paid within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is open for the Advocate Receiver to get appropriate directions from this Court in the course of duty. He is entitled to a sum of Rs.10,000/- per month towards remuneration and the same can be modified by this Court at the request of the Advocate Receiver or any of the parties to the litigation in due course.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> 15. Petition is allowed accordingly.



16.Post Contempt Petition (MD) No.690 of 2019 on 23.02.2021  
at 04.30 p.m.

sd/-  
02/02/2021

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

THE II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSION JUDGE,  
THANJAVUR.

**COPY TO:**

1.THE REGISTRAR JUDICIAL(I/C,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

2.MR.N.SELVARAJ, ADVOCATE,  
ENROLMENT NO.1299/92,  
RESIDING AT NO.898,  
EAST GATE, THANJAVUR-613 001.  
MOBILE NO.9364646162.

ORDER IN  
CMP(MD) Nos.12512 of 2018 and  
1564 of 2019 IN  
AS(MD) No.179 of 2018  
Date :02/02/2021

DIXIT  
TK/PN/SAR.4/18.02.2021/14P/4C