



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.06.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM

and

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.ANANTHI

C.M.P. [MD]No.10899 of 2017

in

W.A. [MD]No.SR45780 of 2017

and

W.A. [MD]No.SR45780 of 2017

Pandi Munieeswarar Chikkanam and Nanaya Sangam,
Alamelu Compound,
Y.Othakadai, Madurai-10,
Represented by its President. ... Petitioner / Appellant

Vs.

1. Muthumari

2. The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation, Madurai.

3. Kamatchi Mahalir Samippu,
and Chikkana Nanaya Sangam,
No.119, 74, Sivagangai Main Road,
Managiri, Madurai-20. ... Respondents / Respondents

PRAYER in C.M.P.[MD]No.10899 of 2017: Petition filed under Section 151 of C.P.C., praying to grant leave to the petitioner to file Writ Appeal.

PRAYER in W.A. [MD]No.SR45780 of 2017: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent, to set aside the order dated 11.10.2017 passed in W.P.(MD).No.13958 of 2016.

Prayer in WP(MD). 13958/ 2016 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order in H6/25092/2016, dated 12.07.2016, passed by the 1st respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Hemakarthiskeyan

For R-1 & R-3 : No Appearance

For Respondent No.2 : Mr.R.Murali



O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by **T.S.SIVAGNANAM, J.**]

We have heard Mr.K.Hemakarathikeyan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.R.Murali, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

2. In the light of the fact that the period of license granted to the third respondent has already expired, nothing survives for adjudication in the said case. Hence, this petition is dismissed as infructuous. Consequently, the Writ Appeal stands dismissed as infructuous in the SR stage itself.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

tsg / ps

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

ORDER MADE IN
C.M.P. [MD]No.10899 of 2017
in
W.A. [MD]No.SR45780 of 2017
and
W.A. [MD]No.SR45780 of 2017
Dated :
08.06.2021

—
KM(14.06.2021) 2P 1C