



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:11.03.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI
W.P. (MD)No.7042 of 2017

WEB COPY

J.K.Suriyanath

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Joint Director (Vocational)
Directorate School Education,
D.P.I Compound,
College Road,
Chennai-6.

2.The Chief Educational Officer,
Madurai District,
Tallakulam,
Madurai.

3.The District Educational Officer,
Madurai Educational District,
Madurai.

4.The Correspondent,
Sourashtra Higher Secondary School,
110, Kamarajar Salai,
Madurai-9.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to pass order on the proposal sent by the 2nd respondent vide Na.Ka.No.14561/Aa6/2012 dated 05.12.2013 within a time frame stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Arul Vadivel Alias Sekar
For R1 to R3 : Mr.C.M.Mari Chelliah Prabhu
Additional Government Advocate
For R4 : No appearance

O R D E R

This writ petition is filed for a direction to the first respondent to pass order on the proposal sent by the second respondent vide Na.Ka.No.14561/Aa6/2012, dated 05.12.2013.

2.The case of the petitioner is that the fourth respondent has
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



appointed the petitioner as Vocational Instructor, in Sourashtra Higher Secondary School, Madurai, vide order dated 16.10.1996 under consolidated pay. Though the petitioner's appointment was approved by the third respondent on 20.11.2003 and also completed the probationary period of two years, his service was not regularized. Thereafter, the fourth respondent sent a proposal to the second respondent for regularizing the petitioner's service. Subsequent to which, the second respondent also sent a proposal, dated 05.01.2013 to the first respondent, who is the competent authority to declare probation and grant Selection Grade. So far, no order has been passed. Hence, the present writ petition is filed.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that this Court may issue a direction to the first respondent to pass appropriate orders on the proposal sent by the second respondent, dated 05.01.2013, within the stipulated time as fixed by this Court.

4.The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 has no serious objection.

5.Considering the limited request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court directs the first respondent to pass appropriate orders on the proposal sent by the second respondent, dated 05.01.2013, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6.With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Ns

To

1.The Joint Director (Vocational)
Directorate School Education,
D.P.I Compound,
College Road,
Chennai-6.

2.The Chief Educational Officer,
Madurai District,
Tallakulam,

<https://hcservices.madurais.gov.in/hcservices/>



3.The District Educational Officer,
Madurai Educational District,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.C.ARUL VADIVEL @ SEKAR, Advocate (SR-10754[F] dated
12/03/2021)

+1 CC to M/s.SPL GP (SR-10931[F] dated 12/03/2021)

W.P. (MD)No.7042 of 2017
11.03.2021

GS (28.04.2021) 3P 6C