



4. The learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit the petitioner is in judicial custody from 12.11.2021. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent police has produced the Case Diary. Wherein, it has been stated that based upon the oral complaint given by the defacto complainant, on 13.11.2021, the officials of the Vigilance and Anti Corruption, Tirunelveli District, proceeded to the office of the Sangupuram Panchayat. But the petitioner was not available there. At that time, the petitioner contacted the defacto complainant through phone and directed him to come to his house. Later, immediately, they went to the house of the petitioner and caught the accused red handed, while accepting the bribe amount of Rs.20,000/- and the acceptance was proved.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that no bad antecedents is reported against the petitioner, that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 12.11.2021 and that the petitioner is Officer in nature, the question of tampering the evidences is not possible, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, on executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Special Court for Trial Of cases under the Prevention Of Corruption Act, Tirunelveli, and on further condition that the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

sd/-
13/12/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

TO

1 THE JUDGE,
SPECIAL COURT FOR TRIAL OF CASES UNDER THE
PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, TIRUNELVELI.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



2 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PALAYAMKOTTAI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.19408 of 2021

Date :13/12/2021

SA/PN/SAR.4/13.12.2021/3P/5C