



5. On reading of the First Information Report shows that the *defacto* complainant and the petitioner are neighbours, because the petitioner used to watch TV in high volume, there was dispute between the parties. When this was questioned by the *defacto* complainant, she was physically abused and assaulted by the petitioner. During the occurrence, the 3.5 sovereigns of gold chain of the *defacto* complainant was snatched away by the petitioner.

6. However, the counsel for the petitioner would submit that the complaint does not disclose the contents under Section 379 IPC. Whether it is exaggerated by the *defacto* complainant or not, it is a matter for investigation.

7. Considering the seriousness and gravity of offence alleged against the petitioner, the alleged chain was not yet recovered by the prosecution, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-

06/12/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KULASEKARAPATTINAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.19164 of 2021

Date : 06/12/2021

PNM

MK/PN/SAR.III/09.12.2021/2P/3C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>