



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 02/12/2021

PRESENT

**The Hon'ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR**

CRL OP(MD). No.18961 of 2021

WEB COPY

BALAMURUGAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED

**VS**

THE STATE REP. BY,  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
THONDI POLICE STATION,  
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.  
(CRIME NO. 286/2021).

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/s.Balamuruganpandi D,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.SS.Madhavan,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C.

**PRAYER :-**

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 286 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent police.


**ORDER :** The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b), 324, 506(ii), 379(NH) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.286 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was neighbourhood dispute between the parties, due to which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked her and also caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that there was some wordy quarrel between the parties, due to which, the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant, abused her in filthy language and also attacked her. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital.



5. Considering the fact that there existed neighbourhood dispute between the parties and also the facts that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that the petitioner is not having any previous case for similar or serious offence as stated by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) and that the co-accused/A1 and A2 were already released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, Ramanathapuram District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-  
02/12/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.



**Note :** In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

**TO**

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
THIRUVADANAI RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
THONDI POLICE STATION,  
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.D.BALAMURUGAPANDI, Advocate (SR-8753[I] dated 02/12/2021 )

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.18961 of 2021  
Date :02/12/2021

das  
USK/PN/SAR-III/(08.12.2021) 3P-6C