



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01/12/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.18930 of 2021

1. Pasupathi
2. Ranjith Kumar
3. Geetha

... Petitioners/Accused
Nos.1 to 3

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu,
The Inspector of Police,
Thandikudi Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.240 of 2021).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.Radhakrishnan, Advocate.

For Respondent : M/s.S.S.Madhavan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C


PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.240 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 447, 323, 506(i) IPC in Crime No.240 of 2021, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 26.11.2021, the petitioners abused the *defacto* complainant with filthy language, trying to assault him and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that a counter case has been registered in Crime No.239 of 2021 against the *defacto* complainant and party, that the petitioners are innocents and not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that no one was injured in the incident.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the investigation is not yet completed, that no one was injured in the incident and that it is a case and counter case and the counter case has been registered in Crime No.239 of 2021 as against the *defacto* complainant and party.

5. Considering the facts that no one was injured in the incident, that except the offence under Section 506(i) IPC, all other offences are bailable in nature and that the counter case in crime No.239 of 2021 is pending against the *defacto* complainant and party, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kodaikanal, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sd/-
01/12/2021

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.III)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

PNM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KODAIKANAL.
2. -DO- THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THANDIKUDI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18930 of 2021
Date : 01/12/2021

SP/JC/SAR III/07/12/2021/3P/5C