



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 09.06.2021

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM
and
THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.ANANTHI

C.M.P. [MD]No.12125 of 2018

in

W.A. [MD]No.SR49270 of 2018

and

W.A. [MD]No.SR49270 of 2018

M.Radhakrishnan

.. Petitioner/Appellant

.Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

2.The Tahsildar,
Devakottai Taluk,
Devakottai,
Sivagangai District.

3.Mr.Sivasambo

.. Respondents/Respondents

Prayer in C.M.P.(MD).No.12125 of 2018: Civil Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act to condone the delay of 4 days in filing the writ appeal.


Prayer in W.A.(MD).No.SR49270 of 2018: Writ Appeal filed under Clauses 15 of Letters Patent, against the order dated 23.10.2018 passed in W.M.P.(MD).No.5085 of 2018 in W.P.(MD).No.5114 of 2018 by the learned single Judge.

Prayer in WMP(MD). 5085/ 2018 :

Writ Miscellaneous Petition is file under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to stay all further proceedings of the impugned charge memos issued by the 2nd respondent in Na.Ka.No.Aa3/588/2017 dated 14.02.2018, Na.Ka.No.Aa3/725/2018 dated 20.02.2018 and Na.Ka.No.Aa3/6975/2013 dated 22.02.2018 pending disposal of the writ petition.

Prayer in WP(MD). 5114/ 2018 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a writ of



Certiorari calling the records relating to the proceedings of the 2nd respondent made in Na.Ka.No.Aa3/588/2017 dated 14.02.2018, Na.Ka.No.Aa3/725/2018 dated 20.02.2018 and Na.Ka.No.Aa3/6975/2013 dated 22.02.2018 and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Suresh Kumar
For Respondents : Mr.R.Manickam for R1 & R2
Government Counsel

O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by **T.S.SIVAGNANAM, J.**]

We have heard Mr.M.Suresh Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.R.Manickam, learned Government Counsel for the respondents 1 and 2.

2. There is a delay of 4 days in filing the appeal. However, since the appeal was against the interim order passed in the writ petition and the said writ petition itself having been disposed of, nothing survives in this petition.

3. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as infructuous. Consequently, the Writ Appeal stands rejected in the SR stage itself.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AE)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

NOTE: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The District Collector,
Sivagangai District, Sivagangai.

2. The Tahsildar, Devakottai Taluk,
Devakottai, Sivagangai District.

C.M.P.[MD]No.12125 of 2018 in
W.A.[MD]No.SR49270 of 2018 and
W.A.[MD]No.SR49270 of 2018
09.06.2021