



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/11/2021

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.17950 of 2021

1. P.Sakthi Balan @ Rajeshwari
2. Rajeshwari ... Petitioners/Accused No.1 & 2

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Muthiahpuram Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.310 of 2021. ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.J.Imran Khan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Madhavan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory bail in Crime No.310 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused Nos.1 & 2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 355, 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.310 of 2021, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 05.10.2021, the petitioners had abused the *defacto* complainant with filthy language and assaulted with an intention to dishonour her. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Due to previous enmity, a false case has been foisted against the petitioners. He would also submit that the petitioners are not having any previous case and no one was injured in the incident.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that there was money transaction dispute between the parties and the investigation is not yet completed. He would further submit that injured was discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the fact that there existed money transaction dispute between the parties, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that except the offence under Section 506(i) and IPC Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, all other offences are bailable in nature and that the petitioners are not having any previous case of similar or serious offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thoothukudi, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30a.m., for period of one month and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;



(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
18/11/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, THOOTHUKUDI.

2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MUTHIAHPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.J.IMRAN KHAN, Advocate SR.No.35061

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17950 of 2021
Date :18/11/2021

PNM

MS/VR/SAR-2/23.11.2021/3P.6C