



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 17/11/2021
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.17846 of 2021

Karuppasamy

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

The State rep.by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Ayakudi Police Station,
Dindugal District.
(Crime No. 423 of 2021).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.P.MUTHUSAMY,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.MANIKANDAN,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory bail in Crime No.423 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.423 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was some dispute between the parties regarding collection of fish, due to which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant, threatened him with dire consequences and also attacked him. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. He would further submit that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital.



5.Considering the facts that there was some dispute between the parties regarding collection of fish, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that except the offence under Section 506(ii) IPC, all other offences are bailable in nature, that the case in counter case is registered in Crime No.422 of 2021 against the defacto complainant and party and that the petitioner is not having any previous case for similar or serious offence as stated by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.


sd/-

17/11/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
PALANI
 - 2 DO-THROUGH :THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
 - 3 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
AYAKUDI POLICE STATION,
DINDUGAL DISTRICT.
 - 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.
- +1. CC to M/S.MUTHUSAMY.P, Advocate SR.No.8233

**ORDER
IN**

CRL OP(MD) No.17846 of 2021
Date :17/11/2021

SA/VR/SAR.3/23.11.2021/3P/6C