



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : **29.11.2021**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.SUNDAR

W.P(MD)No.10908 of 2015

and M.P. (MD)No.1 of 2015

WEB COPY

Abul Kasim

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Customs,
Chennai Customs House,
Chennai.

2.The Commissioner of Customs,
Tuticorin Customs House,
Tuticorin.

3.M/s.Prakash Cottage Industries,
No.51, N.S.Konar Street,
Jaihindpuram,
Madurai - 625 011.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to not to permit the 3rd respondent to export wick stoves to Srilanka under the brand name 'Super Star' along with other associated trade Marks Baby, Cute, XL Beauty, Nutan, 168 Super XL, Kind Shine, Pump, Power, Mega and Luxus, pending disposal of the suit in O.S.No.105 of 2015 before the learned VI Additional District Judge, Madurai.

For Petitioner : Ms.M.Nandhini Priyadharsini
for Ms.D.Geetha

For Respondents : No Appearance.


O R D E R

Ms.M.Nandhini Priyadharsini, learned counsel representing the counsel on record for writ petitioner submits that captioned writ petition has since become infructuous and the same can be disposed of as closed. Learned counsel has made an endorsement in the case file, which reads as follows:

'The writ petition has become infructuous.

sd/-

*counsel for petitioner
for D.Geetha.'*



2. Recording the stated position of the writ petitioner, captioned writ petition is disposed of as closed having become infructuous. Though obvious it is made clear that no view or opinion on the merits of the matter has been expressed in this order. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also disposed of as closed having become infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (A.D II)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

To

1. The Commissioner of Customs,
Chennai Customs House,
Chennai.

2. The Commissioner of Customs,
Tuticorin Customs House,
Tuticorin.

W.P (MD) No.10908 of 2015

29.11.2021

RS (07.12.2021) 2P 3C