



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 11.11.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P.(MD)No.19798 of 2021

and W.M.P. (MD)No.16486 of 2021

S.K.Valivittan

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District.
4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District.
5. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Ramanathapuram District.
6. The Thashildar,
Ramanathapuram District.
7. The Inspector of Police,
Perunazhi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
8. V.Senthurapandian
9. P.Kannaiya
- 10.R.Kalimuthu
- 11.V.Subramani

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to directs the respondents 1 to 7 to take action against the respondents 8 to 11, in accordance with law, for their illegal act of ex-communicating the petitioner from the festival scheduled to be held on 05.11.2021 in "Sri Kalliamman Temple" of Aaraikudi Village, Kovilangulam Post, Kamudhi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District.



For Petitioner : Mr.K.Muthumalai
For Respondents : Mr.T.Senthil Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor for R1 to R7
Mr.Raja Karthikeyan for R8 to R11

WEB COPY

O R D E R

The petitioner is a permanent resident of Aaraikudi Village. He alleges that he has been ex-communicated by the private respondents herein, since they could not come to terms with loss in a civil case. On notice, the official respondents make a statement before this Court that there is no ex-communication. As such, the dispute is only regarding conferring of certain honors in a temple festival. This Court will not justified in interfering in such matters. However, the right of the petitioner to take part in worship cannot be denied. Likewise, the petitioner cannot be denied other civil amenities as a resident of the village.

2. It is firmly stated by the seventh respondent that except the matter of conferring of honors, there is absolutely no issue whatsoever. This statement made by the seventh respondent through the learned Additional Public Prosecutor before this Court is recorded.

3. Recording the said submission, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

csm/mga

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Ramanathapuram District.



3. The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District.
4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District.
5. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Ramanathapuram District.
6. The Thashildar,
Ramanathapuram District.
7. The Inspector of Police,
Perunazhi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
8. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.RAJA KARTHIKEYAN, Advocate (SR-34248[F] dated
12/11/2021)

W.P. (MD) No.19798 of 2021
and W.M.P. (MD) No.16486 of 2021
11.11.2021

SS (CO)
KB (24.11.2021) 3P 10C