



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 02/11/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL OP(MD). No.17070 of 2021

1. Nataraj,

2. Thotiyan,

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 & 2

Vs

The State through
The Inspector of Police,
Vangal Police Station,
Karur District.
Cr.No. 553 of 2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.Dhanasekaran P,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.553 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 379 of IPC r/w 21(1) of Mines and Minerals(Development and Regulation) Act 1957, in Crime No.553 of 2021, seek anticipatory bail.

<https://hcservices.court.gov.in/> The prosecution case is that the petitioners have illegally transported one unit of river sand by using a Bullock Cart. Hence, the complaint.



3.Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, but, a false case has been foisted as against them. However, to show their *bona fide*, they are prepared to pay a sum of Rs.15,000/- each, to any organization as directed by this Court.

4.Learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioners have illegally transported one unit of river sand and hence, the case was registered. He further submitted that no previous case is pending against the second petitioner and the first petitioner is having three previous cases of similar in nature.

5.In view of the antecedents of the first petitioner/A1, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the first petitioner/A1. **Accordingly, the petition is dismissed insofar as the first petitioner/A1 is concerned.**

6. Insofar as the second petitioner is concerned, considering the nature of mineral involved, the antecedent of the second petitioner and his willingness to pay a sum of Rs.15,000/-, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the second petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed insofar as the second petitioner is concerned, on condition that **the second petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.15,000/-(Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only), in favour of the District Green Committee, Karur District**, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court and produce the receipt / acknowledgment before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Karur.

7.The District Green Committee, which was formed as per the Government Order in G.O.Ms.No.39, Environment, Climate Change and Forest (FR.13) Department, dated 02.07.2021, pursuant to the directions of this Court in W.P.No.11094 of 2021, shall utilize the amount paid by the second petitioner for plantation of trees on either side of the road, tree guard, drip irrigation, manure, etc., with public participation, including, NGOs, Confederation of Industries, Rotary Clubs, Lions Clubs, School Students, College Students, Self Help Groups, labours under MGNREGS and any other volunteers, etc., to achieve 100% survival rate of the planted trees. The State Green Committee shall extend their support in this regard by recommending the correct variety / species of trees, in accordance with the soil type and climatic conditions and also for the maintenance. The maintenance of the trees planted has to be reviewed by the District Green Committee, after two years and necessary appreciations have to be made to the stakeholders concerned in the form of certificates.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

8.On production of receipt / acknowledgment for having paid



the amount before the District Green Committee, the second petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Karur, on condition that the second petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a]the second petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Judge may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the second petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., until further orders.

[c]the second petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.


[d]the second petitioner shall not abscond either during the investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the second petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the second petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f]If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

9.It is needless to say that any tool or instrument or vehicle used for illegal quarrying / transportation of sand is liable to be seized under Section 21(4) of the Mines and Minerals(Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and any vehicle or instrument or tool which has been seized under Section 21(4) of the Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, is liable to be confiscated under Section 21(4-A) of the Act.

10.Though the above provision mandates the authorities to confiscate the tool or instrument or vehicle involved in the commission of the offence of illegal quarrying / transportation of sand, the authorities have not invoked the provision under Section 21(4-A) of the Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and therefore, a Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.19936 of 2016, etc., batch has emphasized the need for confiscation of the vehicles. Consequently, the Government has also issued certain directions to the authorities of Revenue, Police and Forest and Geology and Mining departments to seize the vehicles and for confiscation of the same in G.O.Ms.No.170, Industries (MMC-II) Department, dated 05.08.2020. Therefore, the respondent police is directed to proceed further as per Section 21 (4-A) of the Mines



and Minerals(Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and as per the guidelines issued in G.O.Ms.No.170, Industries (MMC-II) Department, dated 05.08.2020.

sd/-
02/11/2021

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

tta

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
KARUR.
- 2.DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VANGAL POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 4.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
DISTRICT GREEN COMMITTEE,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 5.THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
STATE GREEN COMMITTEE, CHENNAI.
- 6.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.P.DHANASEKARAN, Advocate (SR-7814[I] dated 02/11/2021)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17070 of 2021
Date :02/11/2021