



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15/11/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.16629 of 2021

Saronevinith Kumar,

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State Rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Jeeyapuram,
Trichy Cr.No.31 of 2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Karuna V,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Madhavan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory bail in Crime.No.31 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294, 324, of IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No. 31 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute between the petitioner herein and the defacto complainant, the petitioner and the family members of the petitioner threatened the defacto complainant by scolding in filthy language and also attacked her. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would also submit that the petitioner has not having any previous case. He would further submit that Co-accused were already released on bail. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that there was a family dispute between the parties and the injured was discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that A2, A4 & A6 have been arrested and subsequently, released on bail.

WEB COPY

5.Considering the facts that there existed matrimonial dispute between the parties, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that except the offence under Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, all other offences are bailable in nature and that co-accused have already been released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Additional Mahila Court, Trichy, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
15/11/2021

/ TRUE COPY /



DSS

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1.THE JUDGE, THE ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT,
TRICHY.

2.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, JEEYAPURAM,
TRICHY

3.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.V.KARUNA, Advocate (SR-8136[I] dated 16/11/2021)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.16629 of 2021

Date :15/11/2021

SB/JM/SAR-III/22.11.2021/3P/5C