



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22/03/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD)No.16090 of 2020

T.Ramalingam @ Ramesh

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Srivaikundam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No.288/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Radhakrishnan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.V.Chandrasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no. 288 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 3 of Explosive Substances Act, 1908 and Sections 147, 148 & 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.288 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 10.05.2020, in night hours, the village people heard an explosion sound and they informed to the defacto complainant, subsequently, the defacto complainant went to the place occurrence. At that time, the accused persons trying to explode the country made bomb and threatened the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed



any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner is having 24 previous cases and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.It is seen that the respondent police sought adjournment on several times stating that the petitioner has got several cases to his credit and he will be apprehended soon. However, till date the petitioner is not secured by the respondent police. Now the petitioner is ready and willing to appear before the respondent police and co-operate with investigation.

6.In view of the same, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Srivaikundam, Thoothukudi District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall appear before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
22/03/2021

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SRIVAİKUNDAM, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SRIVAİKUNDAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.16090 of 2020
Date :22/03/2021

GNS
TK/VR/26.03.2021/3P/5C