



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.10.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

W.P. (MD)No.19192 of 2021

S.Veerammal

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of Police Superintendent,
Pudukkottai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppunaval Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.

3.M.Kala @ Kalarani

4.Muthusamy

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to provide police protection to the petitioner as well as her daughters by considering the petitioner's representation dated 05.10.2021 within the time frame stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Rajaboopathy


For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi for R1 and R2
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(This writ petition is heard through video conference)

This petition has been filed for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to provide police protection to the petitioner as well as her daughters by considering the petitioner's representation dated 05.10.2021 within the time frame stipulated by this Court.

2.The case of the petitioner is that she is having two daughters and husband also expired. The second daughter's husband also expired and so she living with her. The elder daughter by name Kala @ Kalarani, who is the third respondent herein along with her husband, the fourth respondent illegally trying to grab the property belongs to her husband. So her daughter Saravanadevi, gave a representation dated 23.09.2021 and CSR was also registered in CSR.No.167 of 2021 and since there is no proper enquiry, the petitioner gave a representation on 05.10.2021 seeking police protection. Since the same was not acted upon, the present writ petition came to be filed.



3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that based upon the complaint given by the petitioner against the third respondent enquiry was undertaken and during the course of enquiry, both the parties are appeared and gave a statement to the effect that dispute arose between the three daughters regarding the sharing of the family property. The third respondent gave a statement that they would will out their remedy through appropriate civil proceedings. Based upon the above said proceedings, it came to be closed. Since it is a dispute between the mother and daughters regarding the sharing of the family property, granting of police protection is not proper. And as advised by the second respondent they shall workout their remedy through appropriate civil proceedings. I find no merit in this petition.

4.This writ petition is dismissed with the above said directions. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of Police Superintendent,
Pudukkottai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppunavasal Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

W.P. (MD) .No.19192 of 2021
27.10.2021

TSK (CO)

GC (09.12.2021) 2P 4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>