



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/03/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR
CRL OP(MD)No.15076 of 2020

V.Rajamanickam@sathishkumar

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Cantonment,
Trichy District. (Crime No.25/2020).

... Respondent

Lalitha

... Intervener/3rd Party/Defacto Complainant
in Crl MP(MD)No.34 of 2021 in
Crl OP(MD)No.15076 of 2020

For Petitioner : M/s.A.Senthil Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.Mani Anandh

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-For an Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.25 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 417, 506(i) IPC in Crime No.25 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are working in onion market and both of them loved each other. However, the petitioner refused to marry the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that the investigation is pending.

5.On perusal of the records shows that the defacto complainant and the petitioner were friendly each other, which had been wrongly construed by the defacto complainant that the petitioner was in love with her and he promised to marry her. Further, it was alleged by the defacto complainant that the petitioner refused to marry her. Both of them working in a same place for several years and



therefore, they met daily. It is also seen that the petitioner is 23 years old and the defacto complainant is 28 years old.

6.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that custodial interrogation is not necessary, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Magila Court, Trichy District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall appear before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
16.03.2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Additional Magila Court,
Trichy District.
2. -Do- Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Trichy District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Cantonment,
Trichy District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.15076 of 2020
Date : 16/03/2021

VB PN SAR 3(24.03.2021) 3P 5C