



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Civil Appellate Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Thirteenth day of December Two Thousand and Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice P.T.ASHA

C.M.P (MD) Nos.9296 and 9297 of 2019
and
C.R.P(MD) Nos.2324 and 2323 of 2013

ISAK

... PETITIONER/ PETITIONER/ PETITIONER
IN BOTH THE PETITIONS

Vs

MARIANNADHOSS (DIED)
SANTHANASAMI (DIED)

1 ARULANDHAM (DIED), S/O.SANTHANAM
2 PILAVENDHIRAN
3 REGINAMARY
4 GANARAJ
5 ARULMARY
6 MERI
7 EANJALA
8 RITAMARY
9 SOOSAIPPAUL
10 ANTHONYMARIAM,
11 REBAKKAL
12 JEEVANANTHAM
13 NESAMANICKAM,
14 DOMASS
15 JOHNSON

DASAN (DIED)
ARULANANTHAM (DIED) D/O.SOOSAI

16 AROCKIASAMY (DIED)
17 KOSSDURAI,
18 ROSLIN

...1 TO 18 RESPONDENTS/ 1 TO 18 RESPONDENTS/
1 TO 18 RESPONDENTS
IN BOTH THE PETITIONS

19 SANTHANAMARIYAN
20 IRUTHAYARAJ
21 CHINNAPPA,
22 SOOSAIRAJ

... 18 TO 22 RESPONDENTS/ 18 TO 22 RESPONDENTS/
18 TO 22 RESPONDENTS

IN BOTH THE PETITIONS



Prayer in CMP(MD) . 9296/ 2019 in CRP(MD) . 2324/ 2013 :

To condone the of 852 days in preferring the restoration petition and thus render justice.

Prayer in CMP(MD) . 9297/ 2019 in CRP(MD) . 2323/ 2013 :

To condone the of 852 days in preferring the restoration petition and thus render justice.

Prayer in CRP(MD) . 2324/ 2013 :

To call for the records in connection with the order dated 11.06.2013 made in E.A.No.15 of 2013 in E.P.No.16 of 2010 in O.S.No.506 of 1990 on the file of the District Munsif Court, Pattukottai and set aside the same and allow the above Civil Revision Petition.

Prayer in CRP(MD) . 2323/ 2013 :

To call for the records in connection with the order dated 11.06.2013 made in E.A.No.14 of 2013 in E.P.No.16 of 2010 in O.S.No.506 of 1990 on the file of the District Munsif Court, Pattukottai and set aside the same and allow the above Civil Revision Petition.

ORDER : These petitions coming up for orders on this day, upon perusing the petitions and the affidavits filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Mr.P.THIAGARAJAN, Advocate for the Respondents 2 to 15 in both the petitions and petitioner not appeared either in person or by an advocate in both the petitions, the court made the following order:-

These Civil Miscellaneous petitions are filed to condone the delay of 852 days in preferring the restoration petitions.

2.Though the matter posted under the caption for dismissal, once again there is no representation on the side of the petitioner. It is also seen that these petitions are filed for condoning the delay of 852 days in filing the restoration applications.

3.Considering the fact that the petitioner is not appearing before this Court, these Civil Miscellaneous Petitions are dismissed for default.

sd/-

13/12/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

THE DISTRICT MUNSIF,
PATTUKOTTAI.

WEB COPY

ORDER IN

C.M.P (MD) Nos.9296 and 9297 of 2019
and

C.R.P (MD) Nos.2324 and 2323 of 2013

Date :13/12/2021

CP

MS/JM/SAR-2/22.12.2021/3P.2C