



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 28/10/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL OP(MD) . No.14975 of 2021

Mari @ Mariappan,

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

State Rep. By  
The Inspector of Police,  
Pasupathipalayam Police Station,  
Karur District.  
Cr.No. 665 of 2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Santhanam Rajesh Kumar B,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.665 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 506(i) of IPC and r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act, 2003, in Crime No.665 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant obtained a sum of Rs.25,000/- as loan from the petitioner. When the defacto complainant approached the petitioner for re-paying the Principal loan amount, the petitioner demanded more interest and also abused and threatened her with dire consequence. Hence, the complaint.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated



in this case. He would further submit that the defacto complainant borrowed a sum of Rs.25,000/- from the petitioner and did not repay the same. Instead, the defacto complainant threatened the petitioner with dire consequences.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the as per the direction of this Court, the respondent police filed a detailed report, wherein it is stated that the petitioner has collected exorbitant interest from the general public.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the report filed by the respondent police, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

sd/-  
28/10/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TTA

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
PASUPATHIPALAYAM POLICE STATION,  
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 2.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI.

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.14975 of 2021  
Date :28/10/2021