



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 11.11.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **C.V.KARTHIKEYAN**

W.P.(MD)No.17946 of 2021

Dr.A.Bharathi

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
represented by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.

2.The District Collector,
District Collectorate Office,
Dindigul District.

3.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

4.The Tahsildar,
Office of Tahsildar,
Dindigul East Taluk, Dindigul District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to consider and pass orders on the petitioner's representation dated on 14.02.2021 and the proceedings of the third respondent in Mu.Mu.No.9431/2021/D1, dated 31.05.2021 forwarded to the fourth respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Ilanchezian
For Respondents : Mr.S.Shanmugavel
State Counsel


O R D E R

Heard Mr.Ilanchezian, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr.S.Shanmugavel, learned Counsel for the State.

2.The Writ Petition has been filed in the nature of Mandamus seeking a direction against the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation, dated 14.02.2021 and also the proceedings of the third respondent, dated 31.05.2021 in Mu.Mu.No.9431/2021/D1, which had been forwarded to the fourth respondent/Tahsildar, Dindigul East Taluk, Dindigul.

3.The petitioner claims to run a Trust, by name, Rural Action Development and Research Trust (RADAR), which was started in the

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



year 2003 and also registered with the Sub Registrar, Sannarpatti. The petitioner claims that he has sufficient knowledge over the agricultural related studies. He wants to promote an educational institution by starting an Agricultural College. The petitioner claims allotment of 50 acres of land and in this connection, he had given a representation as aforesaid on 14.02.2021.

4.The third respondent/the District Revenue Officer, Dindigul District, had, by proceedings, dated 31.05.2021, referred to supra, also directed the fourth respondent/Tahsildar, Dindigul East Taluk, Dindigul, to examine the representation of the petitioner and pass an order in writing.

5.Mr.S.Shanmugavel, learned Counsel for the State had forwarded a copy of the report of the fourth respondent, dated 12.10.2021 in Na.Ka.No.2488/2021/B3, by which, for the reasons appended in the said order, the Tahsildar stated that it would not be possible to grant allotment of 50 acres of land for the benefit of petitioner herein.

6.A direction is given to the fourth respondent to communicate a copy of that particular order to the petitioner/Dr.A.Bharathi, Planning Officer, Planning Office, Nochiodaipatti, Koovanuthu Post, Dindigul District-624 003, on or before 30.11.2021 to the said address. The petitioner may, thereafter, take appropriate steps in the manner known to law.

7.Recording the same, since the relief sought by the petitioner does not survive any more, the Writ Petition is dismissed. However, dismissal of this Writ Petition need not preclude the petitioner from taking any further steps in accordance with law questioning the order of Tahsildar, dated 12.10.2021. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

cmr

To

1.The Principal Secretary,
The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Revenue Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.



2.The District Collector,
District Collectorate Office,
Dindigul District.

3.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

4.The Tahsildar,
Office of Tahsildar,
Dindigul East Taluk, Dindigul District.

+1 CC to M/s.SPL.GP (SR-34262[F] dated 12/11/2021)

Order made in
W.P. (MD)No.17946 of 2021
11.11.2021

SR(CO)
RS/PM (19.11.2021) 3P 6C