



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.10.2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI
CRL OP(MD)No.16061 of 2021

WEB COPY

Sathaiah

... Petitioner / Accused 3

Vs

State Rep. by
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
K.Pudupatty Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
In Crime No.63 of 2021

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Mathavan
For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.63 of 2021 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :

The petitioner/A3, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section 379 IPC and Section 21(1)(2) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.63 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The prosecution case is that the petitioner has illegally transported $\frac{3}{4}$ unit of river sand by using bullock cart. Hence, the complaint.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that that this Court has already considered the case of the petitioner and granted anticipatory bail to him, vide order dated 05.05.2021, made in Crl.OP(MD)No.6506 of 2021, with certain conditions. But, the petitioner could not arrange sureties due to the Covid-19 pandemic situation and therefore, he moved this second anticipatory bail application. However, to show his *bona fide*, he is prepared to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- to any organization as directed by this Court.

4.Learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court, in Crl.OP(MD)No.6506 of 2021, dated 05.05.2021 and further submitted that he has not



complied with the conditions stipulated therein.

5.Considering the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner, the fact that the petitioner has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court and due to the pandemic situation, he was not able to comply with the conditions stipulated in the earlier order and his willingness to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/-, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand Only) in favour of the District Green Committee, Pudukkottai District, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court and produce the receipt / acknowledgment before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Thirumayam, Pudukkottai District.

6.The District Green Committee, which was formed as per the Government Order in G.O.Ms.No.39, Environment, Climate Change and Forest (FR.13) Department, dated 02.07.2021, pursuant to the directions of this Court in W.P.No.11094 of 2021, shall utilize the amount paid by the petitioner for plantation of trees on either side of the road, tree guard, drip irrigation, manure, etc., with public participation, including, NGOs, Confederation of Industries, Rotary Clubs, Lions Clubs, School Students, College Students, Self Help Groups, labours under MGNREGS and any other volunteers, etc., to achieve 100% survival rate of the planted trees. The State Green Committee shall extend their support in this regard by recommending the correct variety / species of trees, in accordance with the soil type and climatic conditions and also for the maintenance. The maintenance of the trees planted has to be reviewed by the District Green Committee, after two years and necessary appreciations have to be made to the stakeholders concerned in the form of certificates.

7.On production of receipt / acknowledgement for having paid the amount before the District Green Committee, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Thirumayam, Pudukkottai District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Judge may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., until further orders.

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during the



investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in

P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

8.It is needless to say that any tool or instrument or vehicle used for illegal quarrying / transportation of sand is liable to be seized under Section 21(4) of the Mines and Minerals(Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and any vehicle or instrument or tool which has been seized under Section 21(4) of the Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, is liable to be confiscated under Section 21(4-A) of the Act.

9.Though the above provision mandates the authorities to confiscate the tool or instrument or vehicle involved in the commission of the offence of illegal quarrying / transportation of sand, the authorities have not invoked the provision under Section 21(4-A) of the Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and therefore, a Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.19936 of 2016, etc., batch has emphasized the need for confiscation of the vehicles. Consequently, the Government has also issued certain directions to the authorities of Revenue, Police and Forest and Geology and Mining departments to seize the vehicles and for confiscation of the same in G.O.Ms.No.170, Industries (MMC-II) Department, dated 05.08.2020. Therefore, the respondent police is directed to proceed further as per Section 21 (4-A) of the Mines and Minerals(Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 and as per the guidelines issued in G.O.Ms.No.170, Industries (MMC-II) Department, dated 05.08.2020.

sd/-
25/10/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

cp

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.



TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT,
THIRUMAYAM, PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT

2. DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT.

3. THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
K. PUDUPATTY POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

4. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
DISTRICT GREEN COMMITTEE, PUDUKOTTAI.

5. THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
STATE GREEN COMMITTEE, CHENNAI.

6. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER IN
CRL OP (MD) No.16061 of 2021
Date : 25/10/2021

SB/VR/SAR-II/29.10.2021/4P/7C