



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 20.10.2021

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE **Mr.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.14116 of 2021

1.Ramamoorthi  
2.Kumaresan  
3.Rameshwari  
4.Kamatchi @ Muthukamatchi ... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 4

**Vs.**

1.The Inspector of Police,  
Kadaladi Police Station,  
Ramanathapuram District.  
(Crime No.316 of 2020) ... 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent/Complainant  
2.Sundaramoorthi ... 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent/Defacto Complainant

**Prayer:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records relating to the impugned FIR in Crime No.316 of 2020 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent and quash the same.

|                 |                                                                                        |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioners | : Mr.A.Mohan                                                                           |
| For Respondents | : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi for R1<br>Government Advocate (Crl. Side)<br>Mr.R.Amarnath for R2 |

**ORDER**

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.316 of 2020 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.316 of 2020 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 427 and 506(i) of IPC against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent appeared through video conference from the Office of the Government Advocate (Crl.Side) before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government



Advocate (Crl.Side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 12.09.2021, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.316 of 2020 pending on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.316 of 2020, pending on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (W)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

**(\*) Enclosed herewith The Joint Compromise Memo**

- 1.The Inspector of Police,  
Kadaladi Police Station,  
Ramanathapuram District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.A.MOHAN, Advocate ( SR-32278[F] dated 22/10/2021 )

**Crl.O.P. (MD)No.14116 of 2021**  
**20.10.2021**

**NSN (CO)**

**RS/JGB (02.11.2021) 2P 4C**