



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 24.11.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **B. PUGALENDHI**

W.P. (MD) Nos. 16884, 16885, 16892, 16889, 16886, 16893, 16887, 16888, 16890, 16891, 16894, 16895, 17004, 17007, 17005, 17006, 17206, 17210, 17208, 17209, 17279, 17295, 17297, 17280, 17282, 17281, 17292, 17293, 17285, 17288, 17290, 17294, 17296, 17289, 17284, 17291, 17287, 17286, 17358, 17380, 17387, 17382, 17384, 17381, 17383, 17386, 17388, 17385, 17642, 17647, 17643, 17645, 17644, 17646, 17880, 17882, 17881, 17967, 17968, 18711, 18707, 18708, 18703, 18709, 18706, 18705, 18717, 18721, 18720, 18719, 18722, 18724, 18723, 18725, 18718, 18726, 18727, 18728, 18730, 18737, 18736, 18735, 18741, 18742, 18731, 18734, 18738, 18732, 18739, 18740, 18729, 18753, 18749, 18752, 18757, 18754, 18755, 18767, 18784, 18785, 18787, 18789, 18794, 18788, 18790, 18792, 18793, [18796, 19707, 19711, 19709, 19710, 19712 & 19708] of 2021


W.P. (MD) No. 16884 of 2021:

K. Ravi Ganesh

... Petitioner

v.

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Department of School Education,
Fort St. George, Secretariat,
Chennai.
2. The Commissioner of School Education,
EVK Sampath Maaligai, DPI Campus,
College Road, Nungampakkam,
Chennai - 600 006.
3. The State Project Director,
Samagra Shiksha,
DPI Campus, Nungampakkam,
Chennai - 600 006.
4. The Joint Director of School Education (Personnel),
EVK Sampath Maaligai, DPI Campus,
College Road, Nungampakkam,
Chennai - 600 006.
5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. The Chief Educational Officer,
Madurai District,
Madurai.



Muthupandi. C	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16885/ 2021
Sumathi. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16886/ 2021
Nagajothi. B	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16887/ 2021
Selvakumar. P	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16888/ 2021
Baladhandapani. N	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16889/ 2021
Jeyasundaram.B	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16890/ 2021
Ilaiyaraj. M .P	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16891/ 2021
Pandiselvi. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16892/ 2021
Vadivel. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16893/ 2021
Jeyabackiam.C	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16894/ 2021
Latha.R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 16895/ 2021
Sivakumar.R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17004/ 2021
Navamani. A	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17005/ 2021
Saravanan. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17006/ 2021
Devibala. P	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17007/ 2021
Kanimozhi. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17206/ 2021
Vijaya Lakshmi. N.L	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17208/ 2021
Muthu Priyatharshini. P	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17209/ 2021
Kabila.M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17210/ 2021
Thilagavathi. T	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17279/ 2021
Nagajothi. G	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17280/ 2021
Reka. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17281/ 2021
Aruna. C	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17282/ 2021
Hemavathi. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17284/ 2021
Angulakshmi. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17285/ 2021
Anusha. C	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17286/ 2021
Jayakrishnan. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17287/ 2021
Chandrakasan. V	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17288/ 2021
Selvarani. N	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17289/ 2021
Daisy Rani. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17290/ 2021
Revathi. G	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17291/ 2021
Maragatham. A	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17292/ 2021
Anitha Devi. G	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17293/ 2021
Subha. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17294/ 2021
Bhuvaneswari. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17295/ 2021
Shakila Kumari. K	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17296/ 2021



Bharatharani. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17297/ 2021
Kalyanasundaram. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17358/ 2021
Uma Krishna	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17380/ 2021
Kavitha. T	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17381/ 2021
Ramesh. A	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17382/ 2021
Sivasangar. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17383/ 2021
Murugesan	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17384/ 2021
Chitra	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17385/ 2021
Ilankathirselvi	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17386/ 2021
Bhuvaneshwari	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17387/ 2021
Sudha	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17388/ 2021
Sudha. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17642/ 2021
Rajeswari. I	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17643/ 2021
Luciana Margret Mary. V	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17644/ 2021
Renita. N	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17645/ 2021
Uma. T	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17646/ 2021
Sutha. N	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17647/ 2021
Chitra. M.C	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17880/ 2021
Panjavarnam. C	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17881/ 2021
Sundaravalli. B	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17882/ 2021
Sathya Devi. K	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17967/ 2021
Vijayalakshmi. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 17968/ 2021
Jaiganesh. C	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18703/ 2021
Gomathi. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18705/2021
Balakrishnan. K	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18706/ 2021
Rajamani. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18707/ 2021
Manju. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18708/ 2021
Subha. B	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18709/ 2021
Selvi. A	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18711/ 2021
Amutha. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18717/ 2021
Ramesh Raj. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18718/ 2021
Uma Maheswari. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18719/ 2021
Dhanalakshmi. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18720/ 2021
Jeya Sheela. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18721/ 2021
Devi. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18722/ 2021
Saravanan. A	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18723/ 2021



Sathya. S
Rekha. I
Sharmila Devi. A
Myvizhi Selvi. R
Jesica Mary. M
Umadevi. A
Manimoli. P
Shanmugapriya. T
Selvameenakshi. K
Pandiammal. K
Arockia Mary Latha. N
Vinitha. R.V
Abirami. P
Suthamaheswari. B
Valarmathi. R
Annai Sivagami. M
Rubila Begam. M
Shanthini. S
John Bennet Raj. D
Sankar Durai. P
Vijaya. K
Deivendran. M
Chitra.N
Karthikeyan. R
Jeyanthi. D
Nalini. G
Dinesh. T
Chitra. R.R
Vasuki. R
Vaijayanthi Mala. S.P
Balamurugan. V
Periakaruppan. A
Suriyakala. R
Manivannan. M
Mariammal. M

... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18724/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18725/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18726/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18727/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18728/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18729/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18730/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18731/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18732/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18734/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18735/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18736/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18737/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18738/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18739/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18740/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18741/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18742/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18749/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18752/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18753/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18754/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18755/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18757/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18767/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18784/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18785/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18787/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18788/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18789/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18790/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18792/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18793/ 2021
... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 18794/ 2021
... Petitioner in



Mariammal. M	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 19707/2021
Balakrishnan. K.	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 19708/ 2021
Gomathi. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 19709/ 2021
Manju. S	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 19710/ 2021
Kalyanasundaram. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 19711/ 2021
Rajamani. R	... Petitioner in WP (MD) .No. 19712/ 2021

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Department of School Education,
Fort. St. George, Secretariat, Chennai.
2. The Commissioner of School Education,
Evk Sampath Maaligai Dpi Campus, College Road,
Nungampakkam, Chennai 600 006.
3. The State Project Director,
Samagra Shiksha, D.P.I. Campus,
Nungampakkam, Chennai 600 006.
4. The Joint Director of School Education (Personnel),
E.V.K. Sampath Maaligai, Dpi Campus, College Road,
Nungampakkam, Chennai 600 006.

... Respondents 1 to 4 in all Writ Petitions

5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer, Madurai District. Madurai

... Respondents in WP (MD) .Nos. 16887, 16891, 16893, 16894,
17279, 17281, 17285, 17290, 17292 TO 17295, 17642 TO 17647,
17880 TO 17882, 17967, 18703, 18711, 18717 TO 18725, 18727,
18755, 18767, 18784, 18785, 18787, 18789, 18792, 18793 and 18794/
2021
5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Theni District. Theni

... Fifth Respondent in WP (MD) .Nos. 16885, 16886, 16890, 16892,
16895, 17206, 17208 TO 17210, 17280, 17282, 17297, 17380 TO 17388,
18729 TO 18731, 18734, 18737, 18738, 18754, 18757 and 18790/ 2021
5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Thiruchirappalli District. Thiruchirappalli
... Fifth Respondent in WP (MD) .No. 16888/ 2021



5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Dindigul District. Dindigul.

... Fifth Respondent in WP(MD).Nos. 16889, 17284, 17286 TO
17289, 17291, 17296 and 17968/ 2021

5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Thanjavur District. Thanjavur.

... Fifth Respondent in WP(MD).Nos. 17004 TO 17007/ 2021

5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Karur District. Karur.

... Fifth Respondent in WP(MD).Nos. 17358, 18705 TO 18709,
18796 and 19707 TO 19712/ 2021

5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar District. Virudhunagar.

... Fifth Respondent in WP(MD).No. 18726 and 18788/ 2021

5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District.

... Fifth Respondent in WP(MD).Nos. 18728, 18735, 18736,
18741 and 18742/ 2021


5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Tenkasi, Tenkasi District.

... Fifth Respondent in WP(MD).Nos. 18732 and 18753/ 2021

5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Tirunelveli, Tirunelveli District.

... Fifth Respondent in WP(MD).Nos. 18739, 18740, 18749 and
18752/ 2021

Prayer in WP(MD).No.16884/2021: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the Government Order vide G.O.(1D)No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department, dated 18.08.2021 and quash the impugned Clause in paragraph No.4(1)(2)&(6) issued by the first respondent is
<https://hccsecretdg.gov.in/services> consequently, forbear the respondents from effecting



compulsory transfer by treating the petitioner's present post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned Clause in the Government Order.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos. 16884 to 16895, 17279 to 17282, 17285 to 17288, 17290, 17292 to 17297, 17968, 18717 to 18724/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a WRIT OF CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS to call for the records relating to the Government Order vide G.O. (ID) No. 134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department dated 18.08.2021 and quash the impugned Clause in Paragraph No. 4(1)(2) and (3) issued by the 1st respondent is concerned and consequently forbearing the respondents from effecting compulsory transfer by treating petitioner's present post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned clause in the Government order.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos. 17004 to 17007/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating the impugned Government Order passed by the 1st respondent vide G.O. 1D.No. 134 School Education (Pa.Ka.5(1)) Department Dt. 18.08.2021 and quash the same as illegal in so far as clause 4(I) (2) and (3) is concerned.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos. 17206, 17208 to 17210/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a WRIT OF CERTIORARI calling for the records relating the impugned Government Order passed by the 1st respondent vide G.O. 1D.No. 134 School Education (Pa.Ka.5(1)) Department dated 18.08.2021 in so far as clause 4(I) (2) and (3) is Concerned and consequential impugned order passed by the 4th respondent vide his proceedings Nil dated Nil (Communicated through Email on 19.09.2021 by the 4th respondent) in so far as petitioner is concerned and quash the same as illegal.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos. 17284, 17289, 17291, 17967/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a WRIT OF CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS to call for the records relating to the Government Order vide G.O. (ID) No. 134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department dated 18.08.2021 and quash the impugned Clause in Paragraph No. 4(1)(2) and (3) and 4 (III) (x) issued by the 1st respondent is concerned and consequently forbearing the respondents from effecting compulsory transfer by treating petitioners present post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned clause in the Government order.



Prayer in WP (MD) .No. 17358/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records relating to impugned clause 4 (1) (ஆ), clause 4 (1) (உ), clause 4 (1) (ஈ), clause 4 (III) (ix) and clause 4 (III) (x) in so far as insisting condition with regard to spouse should work above 30 km distance alone of G.O.(1d) No.134 School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department dated 18.08.2021 of the 1st respondent herein and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents herein to place the petitioner in the spouse priority quota and conduct counseling for the BRTes for the entire sanctioned 6,230 BRTes posts as per the G.O.(Ms) No.100 School Education (SSA2) Department dated 16.05.2018.

Prayer in WP (MD) .Nos. 17380 to 17388/ 2021 :


Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a WRIT OF CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS to call for the records relating to the Government Order passed by the 1st respondent in G.O.(ID) No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department dated 18.08.2021 and quash the same as illegal in so far as clause 4(I)(A) (உ) and (ஈ) is concerned and consequently forbearing the respondents from effecting compulsory transfer by treating petitioners present post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned clause in the Government order and direct the respondents to conduct transfer counseling within the District and thereafter from one District to another District.

Prayer in WP (MD) .Nos. 17642 to 17647/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by the 1st respondent in G.O.(1D).No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department dated 18.08.2021 and quash the same in so far as the clauses in Para 4(I) (உ), Para 4(I) (ஈ) and para 4(III) (ix) are concerned, and consequently forbear the respondents from effecting compulsory transfer by treating the petitioners post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned clause in the Government order.

Prayer in WP (MD) .Nos. 17880 to 17882/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to impugned clause 4(1) (ஆ), clause 4 (1) (உ), Clause 4(1) (ஈ), clause 4(1) (ஈ), and clause 4 (III) (ix) of G.O (Id) No. 134 School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1)



Department dated 18.08.2021 of the 1st respondent herein and the consequential impugned seniority list issued by the 4th respondent herein through email on 19.09.2021 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents herein to prepare a draft seniority list on the basis of date of appointment and date of birth seniority and give sufficient time to petitioner to submit objections if any and thereafter issue final seniority list and conduct counseling for the BRTes for the entire sanctioned 6,230 BRTes posts as per the G.O.(Ms).No.100 School Education (SSA2) Department dated 16.05.2018.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos 18703, 18705 to 18709, 18711,18723,18724,18718/ 2021 :


Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to impugned clause 4 (1) (a) and Clause 4(1) (b), Clause 4(1)(c) and Clause 4(III)(ix) of G.O. (1d) No 134 School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department dated 18.08.2021 of the 1st respondent herein and the consequential impugned seniority list issued by the 4th respondent herein through email on 19/09/2021 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents herein to prepare a draft seniority list on the basis of date of appointment of date of birth seniority and give sufficient time to petitioner to submit objections if any and thereafter issue final seniority list and conduct counselling for the BRTes for the entire sanctioned 6,230 BRTes posts as per the G.O(Ms).No.100 School Education (SSA2) Department dated 16.05.2018.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos.18725, 18726 and 18727/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a WRIT OF CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS to call for the records relating to the Government Order vide G.O. (ID)No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department dated 18.08.2021 and quash the impugned Clause in Paragraph No.4(1)(b) and (c) issued by the 1st respondent is concerned and consequently forbearing the respondents from effecting compulsory transfer by treating the petitioners present post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned clause in the Government order and direct the respondent to conduct transfer counselling within the district and thereafter from one District to another District.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos. 18728 to 18732, 18734 to 18742, 18749, 18752 to 18755, 18757/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or Direction particularly in



the nature of writ , calling for the records pertaining to the Impugned order passed by the 1st respondent in G.O.(1D).No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5(1)) Department , dated 18/08/2021 and quash the same in so far as the clauses in para 4(I) (2), para 4(I) (6) and para 4(III) (ix) are concerned, and consequently forbear the respondents from effecting compulsory transfer by treating the petitioners post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned clause in the Government order.

Prayer in WP(MD).No. 18767/ 2021 :


Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to impugned clause 4 (1) (Aa) and Clause 4(1) (2), Clause 4(1) (6) and Clause 4(III) (ix) of G.O. (1d) No 134 School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department dated 18.08.2021 of the 1st respondent herein and the consequential impugned seniority list issued by the 4th respondent herein through email on 19/09/2021 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents herein to prepare a draft seniority list on the basis of date of appointment and date of birth seniority and give sufficient time to petitioner to submit objections if any and thereafter issue final seniority list and conduct counselling for the BRTes for the entire sanctioned 6,230 BRTes posts as per the G.O.(Ms).No.100 School Education SSA2) Department dated 16.05.2018.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos. 18784, 18785/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a WRIT OF CERTIORARIFIED MANDAMUS to call for the records relating to the Government Order vide G.O. (ID)No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department dated 18.08.2021 and consequential proceedings of the 2nd respondent vide Na.Ka.No.41779/C4/E1/2021 dated 12.10.2021 and quash the impugned Clause in Paragraph No.4(1)(2) and (6) issued by the 1st respondent and consequential proceedings of the 2nd respondent vide Na.Ka. No.41779/C4/E1/2021 Dated 12.10.2021 and consequently forbearing the respondents from effecting compulsory transfer by treating the petitioners present post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned clause in the Government order and direct the respondents to conduct transfer counselling within the District and thereafter from one District to another District.

Prayer in WP(MD).Nos. 18787 to 18790, 18792 to 18794/ 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or Direction particularly in



the nature of writ , calling for the records pertaining to the Impugned order passed by the 1st respondent in G.O.(1D).No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5(1)) Department , dated 18/08/2021 and quash the same in so far as the clauses in para 4(I) (2), para 4(I) (7) and para 4(III) (ix) are concerned, and the consequential impugned order passed by the 2nd Respondent in Na.Ka.No.41779/C4/E1/2021 dated 12-10-2021 and quash the same and consequently forbear the respondents from effecting compulsory transfer by treating the Petitioners post as vacant in pursuance of the impugned clause in the Government order.

Prayer in WP(MD) . 18796 of 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court S-3.To issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or Direction particularly in the nature of writ , calling for the records relating to the Impugned clause 4(1) (AA), clause 4(1)(Vu), clause 4(1)(Voo), Clause 4(1)(Yai), and clause 4 (III) ((ix) of G.O. (1d) No.134 School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department dated 18.08.2021 of the 1st respondent herein and the consequential impugned seniority list issued by the 4th respondent herein in online on 14/10/2021 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents herein to prepare a draft seniority list on the basis of date of appointment and date of birth seniority and give sufficient time to petitioner to submit objections if any and thereafter issue final seniority list and conduct counseling for the BRTEs for the entire sanctioned 6,230 BRTEs posts as per the G.O.(Ms).No.100 School Education (SSA@) Department dated 16.05.2018.

Prayer in WP(MD)Nos. 19707,19711 of 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to impugned sanctioned vacancy list published in online on 20.10.2021 of the 3rd respondent herein and the consequential impugned order in Na.Ka.No.41779/C4/E1/2021 dated 20.10.2021 of the 3rd respondent herein and the reliving order issued by the 4th respondent herein in Na.Ka.No.259/A1/O.Pa.Ka/2021 dated 20.10.2021 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent herein to accommodate the petitioner in Block Resource Center in Aravakurichi/Karur Block, Karur District or any other places in Karur District.

Prayer in WP(MD)Nos. 19708,19709,19710 of 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to impugned sanctioned vacancy list published in online on 20.10.2021 of the 3rd respondent herein and the consequential impugned order in Na.Ka.No.41779/C4/E1/2021 dated 20.10.2021 of the 3rd respondent herein and the reliving order issued by the 4th respondent herein in Na.Ka.No.259/A1/O.Pa.Ka/2021 dated 20.10.2021 and quash the same and



consequently direct the respondent herein to accommodate the petitioner in Block Resource Center in Thogaimalai Block, Karur District or any other places in Karur District.

Prayer in WP (MD) . 19712 of 2021 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to impugned sanctioned vacancy list published in online on 20.10.2021 of the 3rd respondent herein and the consequential impugned order in Na.Ka.No.41779/C4/E1/2021 dated 20.10.2021 of the 3rd respondent herein and the reliving order issued by the 4th respondent herein in Na.Ka.No.259/A1/O.Pa.Ka/2021 dated 20.10.2021 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent herein to accommodate the petitioner in Block Resource Center in Thanthoni Block, Karur District or any other places in Karur District.

In WP (MD) .No.16884 of 2021

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Shanmugaraja Sethupathi

For Respondents : Mr.Veera Kathiravan,
Additional Advocate General

Assisted by
Mr.S.Shaji Bino,
Special Government Pleader

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Shanmugaraja Sethupathi
(For WP (MD) .Nos.16884 to 16895,
17279 to 17282, 17284 to 17297,
17967, 17968, 18717 to 18727,
18784 & 18785/2021)

Mr.Mohammed Imran for
M/s.Ajmal Associates
(For WP (MD) .Nos.17004 to 17007 &
17206 to 17208 to 17210/2021)

Mr.T. Pon Ramkumar
(For WP (MD) .No.17358, 17880 to 17882,
18703, 18705 to 18709, 18711,
18767, 18796 & 19707 to 19712/2021)

Mr.A.Mohan
(For WP (MD) .Nos.17380 to 17388/2021)

Mrs. Nalini Chidambaram, Senior Counsel for
M/s.R.Pon Karthikeyan
(For WP (MD) .No.17642/2021)



Mr.M.Saravanan
(For WP(MD).Nos.17643 to 17647, 18728 to 18732, 18734 to 18742, 18749, 18752 to 18755&18757/2021 & 18787 to 18790,18792 to 18794/2021)

WEB COPY

For Respondents : Mr.Veera Kathiravan,
Addl. Advocate General

Assisted by
Mr.S.Shaji Bino, Spl. Govt Pleader
(in all WPs)

COMMON ORDER

These writ petitions are filed by the petitioners / Block Resource Teacher Educators (BRTE) mainly challenging the Clauses 4(1)(2) and 4(1)(6) of G.O.(1D)No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department, dated 18.08.2021.

2.By the said Government Order, the Government has framed guidelines for transferring the Block Resource Teacher Educator (hereinafter referred to as BRTE), by treating all the posts as vacant, ie., zero vacancy and decided to give priority to 362 BRTEs, who are in service as on today out of 395 BRTEs, who were deployed in the year 2014-15 from Chennai, Cuddalore, Madurai, etc., to other Districts.

3.Clause 4(1)(2) of the impugned Government Order refers to the decision taken to treat all the posts as vacant and Clause 4(1)(6) refers about the priority to be given to 362 BRTEs who were deployed in the year 2014-15.

4.The Government of Tamil Nadu implemented a scheme, namely, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (now integrated as Samagra Shiksha) sponsored by the Government of India, with an object to improve the quality of imparting education in Government and Government Aided Primary, Middle, High and Higher Secondary Schools, especially located in the rural areas. The petitioners are selected and are working as BRTEs. They are aggrieved by the aforesaid clauses in the impugned Government Order and are before this Court.

5.Learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that around 3700 BRTEs are working in the State in 413 Block Resource Centres of 39 Districts. By the impugned Government Order, the post of BRTE throughout the State is treated as zero vacancy and transfer counselling is conducted for the entire State and thereby, all the BRTEs are compelled to participate in the counselling. According to



the petitioners' Counsel, no such clause was in existence in any of the earlier transfer counselling and this clause is arbitrary and unreasonable.

6. It is further submitted that by Clause 4(1)(g), preference has been given to 362 BRTes, who were transferred and posted during the year 2014-15. This clause is not only arbitrary, but also creates discrimination among the BRTes. The entire counselling was arranged with an intention to accommodate the 362 BRTes, who were deployed in the year 2014-15. Clause 4(III) of the Government Order deals with priority quota and if more than one person claim for a particular post, then priority will be given to blind, disabled person, widow, etc., and in that priority category, in clause 4(III) (ix), these 362 BRTes, who were deployed in the year 2014-15 are also added as a priority class.

7. In the year 2014-15, 395 BRTes, who were working in Chennai, Cuddalore, Dindigul, Madurai, etc., were identified as surplus and 395 junior most BRTes were deployed to Districts like Dharmapuri, Erode, Pudukottai, etc., where there is a need for BRTes. Of this 395 BRTes, 362 BRTes are in service. Now they are added as a priority category by the impugned clause and this is a discrimination among the BRTes and this is not a reasonable classification.

8. These clauses in the impugned Government Order are against the settled principles of law. According to the learned Counsel, in the absence of any statutory rule, there cannot be any administrative instruction in the form of a Government Order, taking away the rights of the employees, by treating all the existing posts as zero vacancy and also giving preference to a set of BRTes alone.


9. Learned Counsel for the petitioners further submitted that the BRTes are appointed based on the rank issued by Teachers Recruitment Board. The Teachers Recruitment Board has conducted examinations separately for Physics, Chemistry, Zoology, History, Tamil, English and Mathematics. Based on the ranks secured, the candidates were accommodated and therefore, their seniority has to be maintained as such.

10. Learned Counsel has also relied upon the following decisions in support of their case:

i) **2009 AIR (SCW) 5511, Ajaya Kumar Das v. State of Orissa and Others;** and

ii) **2011 (3) CTC 129, Deputy Inspector General of Police v. V. Rani.**

11. Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondents submitted that the BRTes were appointed under a scheme




of the Union Government, namely, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan. The object of recruiting BRTEs is to improve the quality of imparting education in Government and Government Aided Primary, Middle, High and Higher Secondary Schools especially located in the rural areas and for providing training to Secondary Grade Teachers, BT Assistants and Headmasters / Headmistresses. These BRTEs were appointed between 2006 and 2010, based on the rank issued by Teachers Recruitment Board, on subjects such as Physics, Chemistry, Zoology, History, Tamil, English and Mathematics. Pursuant to this scheme, the Government of Tamil Nadu, vide G.O.Ms.No.16, School Education (Q2) Department, dated 05.02.2002, recruited Teachers as BRTEs with a condition that they may be absorbed in the Government Schools.

12.As per the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.27085 of 2019, dated 30.09.2019, the respondents were directed to frame transfer guidelines for the BRTEs as per Clause 17 of G.O.Ms.No.218, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department, dated 20.06.2019, within a period of twelve weeks. Accordingly, the Government Order in G.O. (1D)No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department, dated 18.08.2021, came to be passed, which is impugned herein.

13.The Government has also issued adhoc rules on the service conditions of BRTEs vide G.O.(Ms)No.52, School Education (C2) Department, dated 30.03.2006, that the posts of BRTE and BT Assistant are inter-changeable. Subsequently, by virtue of G.O.(Ms) No.158, School Education (C2) Department, dated 07.09.2006, the Government has permitted to transfer 500 BRTEs as BT Assistants in various Government Schools, on seniority basis, however with their consent. In the year 2014, the Department identified 395 surplus posts among the BRTEs and the junior most BRTEs, who were working in Chennai, Cuddalore, Dindigul, Karur, etc., ie., from surplus areas, were deployed to the required areas like Coimbatore, Dharmapuri, Kancheepuram, etc. Therefore, in order to provide them some concession in the counselling, the clause 4(1)(g) has been introduced as one of the priority and it cannot be termed as arbitrary or discrimination.

14.Since all the BRTEs are working in the same place for more than seven years, the Government thought of treating all the vacancies as zero vacancy and also decided to deploy 500 BRTEs as BT Assistants. The Government has taken this decision by treating all the vacancies as zero vacancy. He further submitted that this Government Order has been accepted by the majority of BRTEs and only few are agitating the same to remain in the same place for years together.

15.He further submitted that transfer of an employee or allowing the employee in a particular place is purely within the prerogative of the Government. The petitioners cannot claim that they should be allowed to continue in the same place as BRTE all along their service by utilizing the option of relinquishment. Most



of the BRTes are continuing as BRTes in the same place for more than seven years, which hampers the monitoring and administration by the School Education Department. The relinquishment as offered in the earlier occasions at the initial stage of implementation of scheme will not confer any right on the petitioners to have such option in all the general transfer counselling. If the claim of the petitioners to permit them to continue in the present station is considered, it will dislocate the entire administration. It is unfair to claim for continuing in the same place for a longer period. In fact, it is common practice for BRTes to relocate to schools as Teachers and transfer them to other BRCs due to long period of stay in the same station to avoid malpractices. By conversion or transfer, their rights for promotion are not affected.

16.No Government servant or employee of a public undertaking has any legal right to be posted forever at any one particular place or place of his choice, since transfer of a particular employee appointed to the class or category of transferable posts from one place to other is not only an incident, but a condition of service, necessary too in public interest and efficiency in the public administration. The petitioners before this Court have also participated in the counselling, pursuant to the interim orders of this Court. He has also relied upon the following decisions:

i) **(2004) 11 SCC 402, State of U.P. v. Gobardhan Lal;** and

ii) **SLP.No.36717 of 2017, dated 06.09.2021, Namratha Verma v. State of U.P.**

17.This Court paid it's anxious consideration to the rival submissions and also perused the materials placed on record.

18.The issue of transfer and posting has been considered time and again by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the entire law has been settled by catena of decisions. It is entirely upon the competent authority to decide when, where and at what point of time, a public servant is to be transferred from his present posting. Transfer is not only an incident but an essential condition of service. It does not affect the conditions of service in any manner. The employee, holding a transferable post, does not have any vested right to be posted at a particular place.

19.In **Gujarat Electricity Board v. Atmaram Sungomal Poshani**, reported in **1989 AIR 1433**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

"Transfer of a Government servant appointed to a particular cadre of transferable posts from one place to the another is an incident of service. No Government servant or employee of public undertaking has legal right for being posted at any particular place. Transfer from one place to



other is generally a condition of service and the employee has no choice in the matter. Transfer from one place to other is necessary in public interest and efficiency in the public administration."

WEB COPY

20. In **Union of India v. H.N. Kirtania**, reported in **1989 AIR 1774**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:-

"Transfer of a public servant made on administrative grounds or in public interest should not be interfered with unless there are strong and pressing grounds rendering the transfer order illegal on the ground of violation of statutory rules or on ground of malafide."

21. In **State Bank of India v. Anjan Sanyal, Appeal (Civil) No. 226 of 1997**, dated 12.04.2001, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held as under:-


"4. An order of transfer of an employee is a part of the service conditions and such order of transfer is not required to be interfered with lightly by a court of law in exercise of its discretionary jurisdiction unless the court finds that either the order is mala fide or that the service rules prohibit such transfer or that the authorities, who issued the order, had not the competence to pass the order."

22. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the decision reported in **(2004) 11 SCC 402 [State of U.P. v. Gobardhan Lal]**, has held as follows:-

"7. It is too late in the day for any government servant to contend that once appointed or posted in a particular place or position, he should continue in such place or position as long as he desires. Transfer of an employee is not only an incident inherent in the terms of appointment, but also implicit as an essential condition of service in the absence of any specific indication to the contra, in the law governing or conditions of service."

23. In **Namratha Verma v. State of U.P. [SLP.No.36717 of 2017, dated 06.09.2021]**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that "it is not for the employee to insist him / her and or not to transfer him / her at a particular place. It is for the employer to transfer an employee considering the requirement."

24. The transfer order may cause great hardship, as an employee would be forced to have a second establishment at a far distant place; education of his / her children may be adversely affected; may not be able to manage his / her affairs and to look after his / her family. This aspect was also considered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **State of M.P. v. S.S. Kaurav, 1995 AIR 1056**, wherein it has



been held that it is not permissible for the Court to go into the relative hardship of the employee. It is for the administration to consider the facts of a given case and mitigate the real hardship in the interest of good and efficient administration.


25. The petitioners before this Court are Block Resource Teacher Educators (BRTes). They have been appointed under a scheme, namely, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan. This scheme is sponsored by the Government of India, throughout the nation, with an object to provide quality education to all the children. The Government of Tamil Nadu has been implementing the said scheme in all the Districts in the State. The period of the scheme itself is for ten years.

26. The Government of Tamil Nadu conducted special recruitments through the Teachers Recruitment Board vide G.O. Ms. No. 16, School Education (Q2) Department, dated 05.02.2002. To improve the teaching skills of Teachers, BRTes were recruited in the pay scale of School Assistants, with a condition that they would be absorbed in the Government Schools thereafter. As per G.O. Ms. No. 94, School Education Department, dated 01.07.2002, BT Assistants appointed in Block Resource Centres and the Regular BT Assistants are inter-changeable.

27. The BRTes' Association made a request to the Government on 20.06.2006 that they are not having any promotional options and they may be transferred and posted as BT Assistants. The said request was considered positively by the Government and vide G.O. (Ms) No. 158, School Education (C2) Department, dated 07.09.2006, the Government has permitted the transfer of 500 BRTes of seniority as BT Assistants. However, an option has been provided to those Teachers to remain as BRTes, depending upon their consent. Prior to this, adhoc rules for BRTes are framed, vide G.O. (Ms) No. 52, School Education (C2) Department, dated 30.03.2006 that the posts of BRTes and BT Assistant are inter-changeable.

28. Pursuant to G.O. (Ms) No. 52 and G.O. (Ms) No. 158, School Education Department, 500 BRTes have been transferred as BT Assistants, in the year 2006. In the year 2014, the Government has identified 395 BRTes as surplus in certain Districts, like, Chennai, Cuddalore, Madurai, etc., and deployed them to places where there is shortage of BRTes, like, Dharmapuri, Pudukottai, Ramanathapuram, etc. While deploying the BRTes, the junior most Teachers were deployed. Therefore, the Government now wants to give a priority to them in the present general counselling, which is disputed that it is a discrimination among the BRTes.

29. General guidelines were issued for transfer of Teachers, who are working in the Government and Corporation Schools, in the year 2009 vide G.O. (1D) No. 218, School Education (Pa. Ka. 5) (1) Department, dated 20.06.2019 and in that Government Order, in Clause 17, it is stated that separate guidelines would be issued for the transfer of BRTes. But the Government has not taken any decision framing the



guidelines and therefore, one Sampath and six other BRTes have approached this Court by filing a writ petition in W.P.No.27085 of 2019, seeking a mandamus directing the Department to conduct transfer counselling by framing separate guidelines, as per Clause 17 of G.O.Ms.No.218, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department, dated 20.06.2019, by following the guidelines issued for the transfer of counselling in the year 2014. The said writ petition was disposed of by this Court by order dated 30.09.2019, with a direction to the respondents to frame guidelines as per Clause 17 of G.O.Ms.No.218, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department, dated 20.06.2019, within a period of twelve weeks. Accordingly, the Government Order in G.O.(1D)No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department, dated 18.08.2021, came to be passed, which is impugned herein.

30. In the very same impugned Government Order, the Government has decided to transfer 500 senior-most BRTes as BT Assistants. The said clause is also challenged by a section of BRTes before this Court in W.P.(MD)Nos.16310 of 2021, etc., batch and this Court, by order dated 24.11.2021, dismissed the said writ petitions.

31. From the sequence of events, it can be understandable that several transfers have been effected initially in the year 2006, however with consent and then, in the year 2014, by way of deployment, after framing the adhoc rules, which were not challenged. The deployment by transfer was initially made only on the request of the association of BRTes that they are not having sufficient promotional opportunities. They are working as BRTes in the same place for more than seven years. In view of the above position and the guidelines of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court is not inclined to entertain these writ petitions inasmuch as conducting the transfer counselling by declaring zero vacancy.

32. Insofar as the priority clause is concerned, it appears that the Government in Clause 4(III) of G.O.(1D)No.134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5)(1) Department, dated 18.08.2021, has given certain priority categories such as widow, disabled person, etc. These classifications have been made based on their social status. Whereas, the classification in Clause 4(III)(ix), by which priority was given to those BRTes who were deployed in the year 2014-15, is based on service condition.

33. There cannot be any priority based on a service condition and it would not stand in the eye of law. As discussed supra, transfer is not only an incident but an essential condition of service. It does not affect the conditions of service in any manner. The employee, holding a transferable post, does not have any vested right to be posted at a particular place. If an employee is transferred from a particular place and posted at a particular place, he / she has to comply with the same. Of course, the transfer order can be questioned on the ground of *mala fide*, jurisdiction, ~~services economy in hc services~~ is a service condition. If certain BRTes were



transferred in the year 2014-15, for which, there cannot be any preference, on par with those who were given preference based on their social condition.

34. In case of surplus Teachers among the BT Assistants, the respondents are following the principle of 'last come first go'. There is a Government Order in G.O. (1D) No. 217, School Education Department, dated 20.06.2019, to the effect that on deployment due to surplus, the junior-most has to be deployed as per the seniority. This is what happened in the present case. During the year 2014-15, the Department has identified 395 BRTes as surplus in certain Districts and deployed the junior-most BRTes to other Districts. Of this 395 BRTes, 362 BRTes are in service and by the impugned Government Order, they are now sought to be given preference. If the Department intends to give some concession to these 362 BRTes, they can accommodate them as BT Assistants, like that of the 500 senior-most BRTes who are now transferred as BT Assistants as per Clause 4(1)(a) of the impugned Government Order, since as per the adhoc rules framed vide G.O. (Ms) No. 52, School Education (C2) Department, dated 30.03.2006, the posts of BRTe and BT Assistant are inter-changeable. Instead, they are cited as a priority category, which is clearly a discrimination among the BRTes and this classification based on service condition is not a reasonable one. Therefore, this Court is inclined to quash the impugned Government Order insofar as Clause 4(1)(g) and Clause 4(III)(ix) is concerned, inasmuch as giving priority to a set of BRTes.

35. Accordingly, Clause 4(1)(g) and Clause 4(III)(ix) of the impugned Government Order in G.O. (1D) No. 134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department, dated 18.08.2021, inasmuch as giving priority to a set of BRTes, are quashed.

36. It appears that this Court, while entertaining the writ petitions on 08.10.2021, has passed the following interim order:-

"14. ...

(i) The writ petitioners shall participate in the ensuing general transfer counselling for this academic year and without prejudice to their rights, they can exercise their option for the transferring place.

(ii) If any of the deployed BRT Educators opts for the same place, under Clause 4(1)(g) and (III)(ix) of the said Government Order, the posting orders shall be kept in abeyance insofar as the particular place is concerned (emphasis supplied). ..."

Since this Court has now quashed Clause 4(1)(V) and Clause 4(III)(ix) of the impugned Government Order in G.O. (1D) No. 134, School Education (Pa.Ka.5) (1) Department, dated 18.08.2021, the respondents shall pass appropriate posting orders.



In the result, these writ petitions stand partly allowed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, all the connected miscellaneous petitions shall stand closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

WEB COPY

// True Copy //

/ /2022

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

Gk

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Department of School Education,
Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai.
2. The Commissioner of School Education,
EVK Sampath Maaligai, DPI Campus,
College Road, Nungampakkam,
Chennai - 600 006.
3. The State Project Director,
Samagra Shiksha,
DPI Campus, Nungampakkam, Chennai - 600 006.
4. The Joint Director of School Education (Personnel),
EVK Sampath Maaligai, DPI Campus,
College Road, Nungampakkam,
Chennai - 600 006.
5. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. The Chief Educational Officer,
Madurai District, Madurai.
6. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Theni District. Theni
7. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Thiruchirappalli District. Thiruchirappalli.
8. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Dindigul District. Dindigul.



9. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Thanjavur District, Thanjavur.

10. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Karur District, Karur.

11. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar District, Virudhunagar.

12. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District.

13. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Tenkasi District, Tenkasi.

14. The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o. the Chief Educational Officer,
Tirunelveli District, Tirunelveli.

+ 8CC M/S. Ajmal Associates SR.36129,36130 dated 26/11/2021

+ 45CC M/s. D. Shanmugaraja Sethupathi SR.36180 dated 26/11/2021

+ 1 CC Spl. Govt Pleader SR.36035, dated 26/11/2021

**W.P. (MD) Nos. 16884, 16885, 16892, 16889, 16886, 16893, 16887, 16888,
16890, 16891, 16894, 16895, 17004, 17007, 17005, 17006, 17206,
17210, 17208, 17209, 17279, 17295, 17297, 17280, 17282, 17281,
17292, 17293, 17285, 17288, 17290, 17294, 17296, 17289, 17284,
17291, 17287, 17286, 17358, 17380, 17387, 17382, 17384, 17381,
17383, 17386, 17388, 17385, 17642, 17647, 17643, 17645, 17644,
17646, 17880, 17882, 17881, 17967, 17968, 18711, 18707, 18708,
18703, 18709, 18706, 18705, 18717, 18721, 18720, 18719, 18722,
18724, 18723, 18725, 18718, 18726, 18727, 18728, 18730, 18737,
18736, 18735, 18741, 18742, 18731, 18734, 18738, 18732, 18739,
18740, 18729, 18753, 18749, 18752, 18757, 18754, 18755, 18767,
18784, 18785, 18787, 18789, 18794, 18788, 18790, 18792, 18793,
18796, 19707, 19711, 19709, 19710, 19712 & 19708 of 2021
24.11.2021**

RK (03/01/2022) 22P 69C