



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.09.2021

WEB COPY

CORAM:
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGOVAN**
Crl.O.P. (MD) No.13815 of 2021

Rajan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.Muthukumar

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to direct the 1st respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry, in pursuance of the complaint dated not known given before the 2nd respondent by the 3rd respondent.

For Petitioner

: Mr.N.Saravanan

For R1 & R2


: Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking direction to the 1st respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry, on the basis of the representation dated 13.09.2021.

2.After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and the Sub Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi Police Station, who was present from the office of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), it is seen that one Muthukumar, who is the husband of the defacto complainant, namely, Parameshwari, is missing, as per the information furnished by the Sub Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi Police Station. It is further seen that Muthukumar, Muniyasamy and this petitioner went to Andhra Pradesh for work. Some trouble appears to have arisen there and Muthukumar was sent to Tamil Nadu through a lorry by this petitioner. Now, the whereabouts of Muthukumar is not known. The petitioner is also in Andhra Pradesh.



3. According to the Investigation Officer, the petitioner has to be enquired with regard to the aforesaid occurrence. For that purpose, he has to appear before the Investigation Officer.

4. Since it is a man missing issue, the petitioner must appear before the Investigation Officer and cooperate with him for completing the investigation. So, the question of harassment at the hands of the 2nd respondent may not arise. I find no merit in this petition. The petitioner is directed to appear before the 2nd respondent herein as directed above. If any trouble arises in future, the petitioner is at liberty to workout his remedy through appropriate proceedings.

5. With the aforesaid direction and liberty, this petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

mm

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

CrI.O.P. (MD)No.13815 of 2021
17.09.2021

NSN (CO)

KB(24.09.2021) 2P 4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>