



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.11.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN
Crl.O.P(MD)Nos.14447 and 14465 of 2021

WEB COPY

In Crl.O.P(MD)No.14447 of 2021:

1.A.Malairaj

2.Poomalai

3.Ramar

4.Vetrivel

5.Rajeswari

6.Periyanatchi @ Vasanthi

7.Gurusonai

... Petitioners/Accused No.1-7

Vs

1.The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Silaiman, Madurai district.
Cr.No.202 of 2017.

2.Arumugam

... Respondents/Defacto
Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Azhagu Prasath

For R1 : Mr.T.Senthil Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.R.Vignesh

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records and quash the proceedings in Cr.No. 202 of 2017.

In Crl.O.P(MD)No.14465 of 2021:

1.Priya

2.Selvi



3.Arun Pandi

4.Arumugam

5.Pandiya Raj

6.Muniyandi

7.Vigneswaran

... Petitioners/Accused No.1-2,4-8

Vs

1.The State rep. by

The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Silaiman, Madurai district.
Cr.No.203 of 2017.

... Respondent/Complainant

2.Malairaj

... Respondent/Defacto Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Vignesh

For R1 : Mr.T.Senthil Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.G.Azhagu Prasath

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records and quash the proceedings in Cr.No. 203 of 2017.

C O M M O N O R D E R

These Criminal Original Petitions have been filed for quashing the FIRs in Crime No.202 of 2017 and Crime No.203 of 2017 registered on the file of Inspector of Police, Silaiman Police Station, Silaiman, Madurai District. They are counter cases.

2.One Arumugam, W/o.Karuppanan is the defacto complainant in Crime No.202 of 2017 and one Malairaj, S/o.Ariram is the defacto complainant in Crime No.203 of 2017. The accused are also present before this Court. They have filed a joint memo of compromise and they have no objection for quashing the impugned FIRs.

3.I personally enquired the individual defacto complainants. Both of them answered in the affirmative that the matter has been amicably resolved. The defacto complainants have been duly identified by their respective counsel viz., Mr.G.Alagu Prasad (Cr.No.202 of 2017) and Mr.R.Vignesh.



4.Since the parties have chosen to give a quietus to controversy, keeping the prosecution alive will not serve any purpose. I am satisfied that the issue has been resolved.

5.Both the impugned FIRs are quashed. Both the Criminal Original Petitions are allowed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

PNM

NOTE: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Silaiman, Madurai district.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P(MD)Nos.14447 and 14465 of 2021

08.11.2021

RK/SKN(17/11/2021) 3P 3C