



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **08.10.2021**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

**CrI.O.P. (MD) No.13277 of 2021**

WEB COPY

1.Senthil Kumar

2.Velmurugan

... Petitioners/Accused No.1&2

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,  
Eriodu Police Station,  
Dindigul District.  
(Crime No.66 of 2018)

...1<sup>st</sup> Respondent/Complainant

2.Sivasankar

...2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent/Defacto  
Complainant

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records of FIR in Crime No.66 of 2018 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent and quash the same against the petitioners as illegal.

For Petitioners :Mr.R.Alagiya Nambi

For R1 :Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi  
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

For R2 : Mr.K.R.Badurus Zaman


### ORDER

This petition is filed seeking quashment of Crime No.66 of 2018 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based upon the complaint lodged by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent registered the case in Crime No.66 of 2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(i) of IPC against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent appeared through video conference from the Office of the learned Government Advocate



(Crl.Side) before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 18.08.2021, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.66 of 2018 pending on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.66 of 2018, pending on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police is hereby quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

mm

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To  
Enclose Joint Compromise Memo.

- 1.The Inspector of Police,  
Eriodu Police Station,  
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

**Crl.O.P. (MD)No.13277 of 2021**  
**08.10.2021**