



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/08/2021
PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL OP(MD) . No.11526 of 2021

1.Selvakumar
2.Sankar

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 & 2

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Meignanapuram Police Station,
Thoothukudi District
Crime No.104 of 2021

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.D.S.HAROON RASHEED,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.KOTTAI CHAMY,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.104/2021 on the file of the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 and A2, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 427, 506(ii) I.P.C and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.104 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner and the defacto complainant are husband and wife. Due to misunderstanding between themselves, the first petitioner and his family members assaulted the defacto complainant and her family members and also abused them in filthy language. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that a counter case has been registered against the defacto complainant and her family members in Crime No.105 of 2021 for the offences under



Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) I.P.C. He would further submit that the petitioners are innocents, they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, but they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, on instructions, would submit that no one is injured in this case.

5.Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, the fact that it is a family dispute, a counter case was registered and also the fact that no one is suffered injury in this case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;


(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f)if the accused/ petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
18/08/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
SATHANKULAM.
- 2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MEIGNANAPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11526 of 2021
Date :18/08/2021

SA/JC/SAR.2/27.08.2021/3P/5C