



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26/08/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL OP(MD). No.11221 of 2021

SATHISH

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.2

VS

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

(CRIME NO.18 OF 2021) ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

FOR PETITIONER :M/S.R.MURUGAN,
ADVOCATE.

FOR RESPONDENT :MR.E.ANTONY SAHAYA PRABAHAR,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.18 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A2, who was arrested on 15.07.2021, for the offence punishable under Sections 406 and 420 IPC @ 406, 420 and 120 (B) IPC in Crime No.18/2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons in this case has collected money from several persons as if they would arrange job in various departments. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, but he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that it is a case of job racketing.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



The first accused in this case pretended that she is having contact with several Ministers and other department officials and has collected money from several persons by promising that she would arrange job in various departments. Believing the words of the first accused, several persons have paid money. The specific allegation against this petitioner is that some of the victims in this case have deposited money in this petitioner's account which has been handed over to the first accused. It shows that there is a clear nexus between the petitioner and the first accused in this case and hence, he opposed for grant of bail to this petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the serious nature of offence committed by this petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
26/08/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

tmg/msa

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
DISTRICT PRISON,
RAMANATHAPURAM.
2. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.11221 of 2021
Date :26/08/2021