



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **28.10.2021**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGOVAN**
Crl.O.P. (MD) No.11035 of 2021

WEB COPY

Veera Jeya Murugan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

1.The State rep by

The Inspector of Police,
Subramaniapuram Police Station,
Madurai City.

(In Crime No.1086 of 2018)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Sangeetha Chellam

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.1086 of 2018 on the file of the 1st respondent herein and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Arun

For R1 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi

Additional Public Prosecutor(Crl.Side)

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.1086 of 2018 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioner is that based upon the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.1086 of 2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 448, 427, 294(b), 324 and 506(2) of IPC r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 against the petitioner.

3.The further contention of the petitioner is that he compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioner and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioner and the 2nd respondent appeared through video conference from the Office of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side) before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by



the learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 30.07.2021, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.1086 of 2018 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.1086 of 2018, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (A.D II)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

mm

(*) Enclosed herewith The Joint Compromise Memo

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Subramaniapuram Police Station,
Madurai City.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.B.ARUN, Advocate (SR-33133[F] dated 28/10/2021)

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.11035 of 2021

28.10.2021

SE (CO)

RS/SKN (23.11.2021) 2P 4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>