



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Tenth day of August Two Thousand and Twenty One

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL MP(MD) No.5664 of 2021

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.611 of 2021

J.SANJEEV KUMAR BAID

... PETITIONER/PETITIONER/
PETITIONER/ACCUSED
(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
CR. NO.13 OF 2019.

... RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/
RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Petition filed praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the petition filed therewith the High Court may be pleased to extend the time to comply the Order of this Honorable Court dated 8.4.2021 in Crl.MP.(MD).No.2942 of 2021 in Crl.OP.(MD).No.611 of 2021 dated 11.3.2021.

Order : This petition coming up for orders on this day, upon perusing the petition filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Mr.R.SURESH KUMAR, Advocate for the petitioner and of Mr.P.KOTTAI CHAMY, Government Advocate(crl.side) on behalf of the Respondent the Court made the following order:-

This petition has been filed seeking extension of time to comply with the condition imposed by this Court in Crl.O.P.(MD) No.611 of 2021 dated 11.03.2021.

2. This petitioner was granted anticipatory bail in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.611 of 2021, by order dated 11.03.2021, with a condition that the petitioner shall appear before the concerned Magistrate and furnish the sureties. The petitioner has not furnished the sureties as directed by this Court within the stipulated time and moved a petition for extension of time in Crl.M.P.(MD)No.2942 of 2021 and the same was ordered by this Court on 08.04.2021. Even then, this petitioner has not furnished the sureties and moved the second petition for extension of time for furnishing the sureties.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is the resident of Kolkatta. Due to the second wave of Covid-19 and lock down announced by the State, he was not able to travel from his residence. Therefore, he could not appear before the concerned Magistrate and furnish the sureties. Hence, he seeks for extension of time.

4. Heard the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police.

5. Being not satisfied with the reasons assigned by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move a fresh petition.

sd/-

10/08/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
(SPECIAL COURT FOR ANTI LAND GRABBING CASES),
RAMANATHAPURAM.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL MP(MD) No.5664 of 2021

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.611 of 2021

Date :10/08/2021

SS/VR/SAR-I/24.08.2021 : 2P/5C